



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 11217/2019, CM APPL. 49149/2019**

SAI KRIPA ENGINEERING WORKS

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. S.K. Sharma, Mr. Rahul Sharma,
Mr. Tejas Singh, Mr. Yogender
Kumar, Advs.

versus

**LABOUR OFFICER, (DISTRICT SOUTH), OFFICE OF JOINT
LABOUR COMMISSIONER**Respondent

Through: Ms. Avni Singh, Panel Counsel with
Mr. Gaurav M., Adv. for R-1

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

28.04.2025

%

1. None appears for Respondent No.2.
2. The grievance of the Petitioner, as articulated in the present Petition, is that the Award dated 26.03.2018 was passed without issuing Notice to the Petitioner. It is the case of the Petitioner that previously, the Petitioner was operating from the address, as is set out in the Award. However, in the year 2015, the address of the Petitioner was changed.
3. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Award itself sets out a wrong address and even the notices could not be served on the Petitioner.
4. A perusal of the record reflects that the terms of reference dated 28.04.2010 have been sent to the Petitioner on 27.08.2010 at his address at that time which was D-35, Indira enclave, Neb Sarai, New Delhi-110068.



Thus, even though the Award may have been served at the wrong address, the notices sent during the proceedings were correct.

5. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner requests for some time to take instructions and file an appropriate Affidavit clarifying this issue.

6. At his request, list on 10.11.2025.

7. In the meantime, the Registry is directed to place on record the digital copy of the Trial Court Record duly paginated and book-marked in accordance with the rules of the High Court.

8. The parties shall ace based on the digitally signed copy of the order.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

APRIL 28, 2025/jn

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)