



2026:DHC:5191

\$~28

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

RC.REV. 288/2023

SMT YOGESHWARI DEVI

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anil K. Airi, Sr. Adv. with Mr.
Aman Rajgandhi, Mr. Vardaan Bajaj,
Mr. Ojasvi Sharam, Mr. Devamshu
Behl, Mr. Mudit Ruhella, Advs.

versus

YOGESH RAJU

..... Respondent

Through: Mr Ankit Gupta, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

24.01.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. The petitioner/landlord has assailed order of Additional Rent Controller whereby leave to contest was allowed to the respondent/tenant. I have partly heard learned senior counsel on behalf of petitioner. The only ground on which leave to contest was allowed was that there is an alternate accommodation available, which accommodation according to the petitioner/landlord is not reasonably suitable for the purpose projected.
2. Further final arguments have to be deferred due to paucity of time on account of an urgent administrative meeting.
3. List for further final arguments on 28.03.2024.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

JANUARY 24, 2024/RV

Click here to check corrigendum, if any