



\$~89

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P.(MAT.) 305/2025**

**JASKIRAT SINGH SETHI**

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mayank Arora, Mr. Saksham  
Tyagi, Mr. Amit Lakhani, Advocates

versus

**PEKHNA SETHI**

.....Respondent

Through: Counsel for respondent (*appearance  
not given*)

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA**

**O R D E R**

% **08.05.2026**

**CRL.M.A. 12845/2026 (for directions)**

1. This application has already been disposed of vide order dated 27.04.2026.

**CRL.M.A. 12205/2026 (for orders/directions)**

2. The revisionist seeks condonation of delay in filing additional documents and affidavit. Learned counsel for respondent submits that in the interest of expeditious disposal, he does not object to condonation of delay in filing the additional documents and affidavit but according to him, some of those documents are forged while some were not before the trial court, so cannot be considered by this Court. Under these circumstances, the application is disposed of to the extent that delay in filing the additional documents is condoned.

**CRL.M.A. 2265/2026 (for directions)**

3. By this application, the revisionist seeks permission to place on record rejoinder and annexures. Learned counsel for respondent submits that in the interest of expeditious disposal, he does not object to this application but



subject to the observations recorded in order dated 25.04.2026.

4. Initially today, learned counsel for respondent objected to taking on record Annexure-P3 (Colly.) also on the ground that the same was not before the learned trial court. On this aspect, I heard both sides for half an hour. Basically, before the trial court, it was claimed by the present respondent that the present petitioner is one of the directors of M/s Sethi Forex Pvt. Ltd. and that was claimed on the basis of Company Master Data (*pdf 229 of LCR*), which names the present petitioner and his mother as directors. The present petitioner in the present proceedings has filed Annexure-P3 (Colly.), claiming that father of Jaskirat Singh Sethi, who is director of M/s Sethi Forex Pvt. Ltd. is Mr. Kulwant Singh Sethi, whereas father of the present petitioner is Mr. Jaswinder Singh Sethi, therefore the master data relied upon before the trial court was incorrect.

5. After submissions for half an hour, learned counsel for respondent submits that Annexure-P3 (Colly.) may be read by this Court and he withdraws his objections in that regard.

6. Accordingly, this application also is allowed.

**CRL.M.A. 19474/2025 (stay)**

7. Now that all pending applications, except the present one, stand decided. For addressing arguments on stay application, learned counsel for petitioner seeks three days to obtain complete data on the basis of DIN number so that he may be able to establish that trial court was misled into passing the impugned order.

8. Relist on 16.05.2026 in Advance List.

**GIRISH KATHPALIA, J**

**MAY 8, 2026/‘rs’**