



\$~69

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **CRL.M.A. 2265/2026, CRL.M.A. 12845/2026, CRL.M.A. 12205/2026, CRL.REV.P.(MAT.) 305/2025, CRL.M.A. 2265/2026, 12205/2026 & CRL.M.A. 19474/2025**

JASKIRAT SINGH SETHI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mayank Arora, Mr. Jai Allagh,  
Mr. Saksham Tyagi and Mr. Amit  
Lakhani, Advocates

versus

PEKHNA SETHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Udit Mehra, Mr. Rajiv Bajaj, Mr.  
Naman Arora, Mr. Shubham Bhati  
and Mr. Aditya Kumbhaj, Advocates.

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA**

**ORDER**  
**24.04.2026**

%

1. In the first call, none appeared for either side.
2. Keeping in mind the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, matter was passed over. In this second call, it was disclosed that the reply to Crl. M.A 12845/2026 is lying under objections in the Registry. Avoiding adjournment, without reply, both sides were requested to address arguments on the application.
3. Learned counsel for respondent contended that the additional documents filed by the petitioner, especially the document at *pdf* 854 is a forged document as would appear from nature of the seal, which was



compared by him with another document of the petitioner bearing a different seal at *pdf* 750.

4. At that stage, learned counsel for petitioner handed over a document across the board for perusal. When the counsel for respondent requested for copy of that document, learned counsel for petitioner stated that since earlier, the counsel for respondent also had not given a copy of the document, counsel for petitioner also would not do so.

5. In the above unpleasant circumstances, it would be better if the court waits for the reply to the application after objections are cleared. Learned counsel for respondent shall do the needful today itself.

6. Relist on 25.04.2026 in Advance List.

**GIRISH KATHPALIA, J**

**APRIL 24, 2026/as**