



\$~30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 9817/2024**

KRISHAN LAL AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Talha Abdul Rahman, Mr. M. Shaz Khan, Mr. Rafid Akhter, Mr. Sudhanshu Tewari, & Mr. Arun Sharma, Advs.

versus

DIVISIONAL RAIL MANAGER, NORTHERN RAILWAY AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Akshay Amritanshu, Sr. Panel Counsel with Mr. Samyak Jain, Ms. Drishti Saraf and Ms. Pragya Upadhyay, Advs. for R-1 to 3
Mr. Karn Bhardwaj and Mr. Udit ASCs with Mr. Tushar Sannu, Adv. for R-4 & 5
Ms. Aakriti Garg, Mr. N. Sagar Varma and Mr. Parvinder Chauhan, Advs. for DUSIB
Mr. Varun Chandiook, Mr. Alok Kumar and Ms. Anubhi Goyal, Advs. for MCD

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

06.09.2024

CM APPL 52142/2024 (for impleadment)

1. Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant.
2. The applicants in the instant application have sought for impleadment



as petitioners on the ground that the applicants also have grievance against the impugned notice in the instant petition.

3. The application for impleadment came to be strongly opposed by the learned counsel on behalf of the existing petitioners. Having considered the facts in the instant case and the grounds in the instant application, the Court is of the considered opinion that the applicants cannot be allowed to be impleaded as petitioners against the will of the existing set of petitioners.

4. If the applicants are subject to any adverse action analogous to the existing petitioners, the applicants can seek relief by way of filing a fresh substantive writ petition.

5. Reserving such liberty in favour of the applicants, the Court is not inclined to allow the instant application.

6. The instant application stands dismissed accordingly.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J.

SEPTEMBER 6, 2024

p'ma