



\$~12

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
+ TEST.CAS. 59/2024

SANJEEV MITLA

Petitioner

Through: Ms. Vinita Sasidharan, Adv.

versus

THE STATE & ORS.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Gaurav Mundra, Proxy Adv.
for Ms. Avni Singh, Adv. for R-
1/State
Mr. Abhishek Aggarwal, Adv.
for R-2
Mr. Puneet Bhatnagra, Adv. for
R-3

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. MANISH
SHARMA, (DHJS)

ORDER
28.05.2025

%

TEST.CAS. 59/2024; I.A. 33580/2024 (Application under Section 149 read with Section 151, CPC seeking exemption from paying/ affixing ad valorem court fees) & I.A. 33579/2024 (Application under Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 CPC)

1. As per office note, rejoinder to the reply of respondent no.2 has been filed on behalf of respondent no.3.
2. Valuation report has not been filed.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide order dated 14.01.2025, a direction was issued to the petitioner to co-operate with the SDM, Defence Colony



to facilitate in filing the valuation report. He further submits that he has provided all the relevant documents in his possession with complete details to learned counsel for respondent no.1/State.

4. Learned counsel for respondent no.1/State submits that he will go through the documents supplied today and will file valuation report within four weeks. Let valuation report be filed by respondent no.1/State accordingly.
5. Re-notify the matter for admission/denial of documents on 25th September, 2025.

**MANISH SHARMA, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

MAY 28, 2025/nk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any