



\$~

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
+ LA.APP. 210/2024

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHIAppellant
Through: Ms. Tajinder Viridi, Adv.

Versus

LAL RAM SARUP KASERA TRUST & ANR.Respondent
Through: Mr. S.K. Rout, Ms. Dimple Dhamija,
Mr. Aman Mehrotra and Mr. Rahul
Kumar, Advs. for R-1 Mr. Keshav
Kumar Goel, President of respondent
No.1 in person
Mr. M.S. Akhtar for Mr. Sanjay
Kumar Pathak, Adv. for R-2/UOI

CORAM:
REGISTRAR GENERAL SH. ARUN BHARDWAJ

% **ORDER**
22.05.2025

1. Vide order dated 08.04.2025, the Hon'ble Court has, *inter alia*, directed as under:-

“.....

2. Learned counsel for the applicant/respondent No.1, while relying upon the decision in 'Kazi Moinuddin Kazi Bashiruddin & Ors. Vs. Maharashtra Tourism Development Corporation' reported as 2022 SCC Online SC 1325, submits that the said amount is to be released against furnishing of proper security in the form of indemnity. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant does not deny the said legal position as noted in the aforesaid judgment.

3. In view of the aforesaid, the 25% of the enhanced amount of compensation be released to the applicant/respondent No.1 against furnishing of indemnity to the satisfaction of the Registrar General of this Court.....”

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 24/05/2025 at 15:34:14



2. While examining the documents produced by respondent No.1 (such as PAN Card), it is observed that as per the award passed by the Reference Court the respondent No.1 is not a registered Trust as the words (Regd.) are not mentioned in its name but respondent No.1 is submitted that the payment should be made in the name of Lala Ram Swaroop Kasera Trust (Regd.). On the query of the Court, it is informed that the Trust was already a registered Trust when the Reference was decided. Moreover, the authorized signatory of the Trust, who had filed the petition under Section 18 of LA Act 1894 had also passed away during the pendency of the Reference Petition but the present appeal is filed in which the Trust is shown to be represented by Shri Radhay Shyam, who is no more.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 submitted that he will take appropriate steps for the release of the enhanced compensation by filing suitable application before the Hon'ble Court.

4. Matter shall be taken up as and when further orders are received in this regard from the Hon'ble Court.

ARUN BHARDWAJ
REGISTRAR GENERAL

MAY 22, 2025

ss

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)