



\$~31, 32, 34 and 35

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
LA.APP. 209/2024
MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHIAppellant
Through: Mr. Tajinder Viridi, SC.
versus
ARUN KUMAR GUPTA & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, SC for
R-2/UOI with Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha
and Mr. M. S. Akhtar, Advs.

32
+ LA.APP. 210/2024
MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHIAppellant
Through: Mr. Tajinder Viridi, SC.
versus
LAL RAM SARUP KASERA TRUST & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, SC for
R-2/UOI with Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha
and Mr. M. S. Akhtar, Advs.

34
LA.APP. 226/2024
MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHIAppellant
Through: Mr. Tajinder Viridi, SC.
versus
DINESH BANSAL & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, SC for
R-2/UOI with Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha
and Mr. M. S. Akhtar, Advs.

35
LA.APP. 227/2024
MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHIAppellant
Through: Mr. Tajinder Viridi, SC.
versus
RAJ KUMARI SHARMA (DECEASED) & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, SC for
R-2/UOI with Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha
and Mr. M. S. Akhtar, Advs.



CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

% 28.02.2025

CM APPL. 12107/2025-Delay 42 days in filing deficit Court fee in LA.APP. 209/2024;

CM APPL. 12129/2025-Delay 42 days in filing deficit Court fee in LA.APP. 210/2024;

CM APPL. 12131/2025-Delay 42 days in filing deficit Court fee in LA.APP. 226/2024;

CM APPL. 12127/2025-Delay 42 days in filing deficit Court fee in LA.APP. 227/2024

1. By virtue of the present applications, the appellant in the above appeals seeks condonation of 42 days' delay in filing the deficit Court fee.
2. For the reasons stated therein, as also since there is no objection by the learned counsel for the respondent no.2, the applications are allowed and the delay is condoned.
3. The applications are disposed of by granting two weeks to the appellant to file the deficit Court fee.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

FEBRUARY 28, 2025/akr