



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RFA 546/2022 & CM APPL. 33884/2024**

ISLAMUDDIN & ANR.

.....Appellants

Through: Mr. Naman Gambhir, Adv.

versus

AKILA BEGUM & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Vinay Jaidka, Adv. for R-1.
Mr. Muddassir D. Adv. for R-2 to 4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

% **04.07.2024**

CM APPL. 33884/2024 *[Early hearing]*

1. This is an Application seeking early hearing of the matter.
2. For the reasons stated in the Application, the Application is allowed.
3. The Application is disposed of.
4. CM APPL. 47651/2022 is taken up for hearing today.

CM APPL. 47651/2022 *[For Stay]*

5. At the outset, learned Counsel for the Appellant submits that the grievance of the Appellant is not with the preliminary decree wherein the shares of the parties have been decided but with the final decree passed, more specifically to the sale of the property by auction.
6. Learned Counsel for the Appellants seeks to rely on an order passed by the Trial Court, wherein the principle of owelty has been set out, to submit that this principle was not followed. He, however, seeks time to place



on record the documents and orders passed by the Trial Court.

7. Registry is directed to place on record the digital copy of the Trial Court Record duly paginated and bookmarked in accordance with the rules of the High Court.

8. List on date already fixed, i.e., 16.07.2024.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

JULY 4, 2024/SA

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)