



\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ RC.REV. 194/2025 & CM APPL. 39621/2025-Stay

SH.MOOLCHAND MALHOTRA & ANR.Petitioners
Through: Ms. Mansi Bajaj and Mr. Saatvik
Khurana, Advocates.

versus

SH. SURESH KUMAR SINGHAL SINCE DECEASED
THROUGH HIS WIFE SANTOSH SINGHALRespondent
Through: Mr. Anil Mishra, Advocate
(through VC)

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

% **ORDER**
08.09.2025

1. Learned counsel for the respondent appearing through video conferencing seeks some time to file a reply. However, at this stage, learned counsel for the parties submit that instead of filing reply/ rejoinder they would prefer to file their written synopsis.
2. A such, the parties are directed to file their respective written synopsis not exceeding *five pages*, giving a chronological list of dates and events and relevant documents, if any, alongwith duly highlighted judgments setting out the propositions of law therein, they wish to rely upon within a period of four weeks.
3. List on 28.01.2026.



4. In the meanwhile, let the Trial Court Record be requisitioned in digital form.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

SEPTEMBER 8, 2025

NA