



\$~O-44

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 425/2025 and I.A. 15781/2025, I.A. 15782/2025

CHANDRA SHEKHAR

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sagar, Sr. Adv with Ms.
Nazia Parveen, Adv.

versus

ROHINI GHAVARI AND ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Vineet Jindal, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

29.07.2025

1. Heard Mr. Sanjeev Sagar, learned senior counsel for the plaintiff, and Mr. Vineet Jindal, learned counsel who appears on behalf of defendant no.1.
2. Mr. Vineet Jindal prays for time to file reply to I.A. 15781/2025. He may do so, within 7 working days. Let rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within 3 days thereafter.
3. Mr. Sagar submits that despite the matter's pendency before this Court, defendant no.1 is continuously making defamatory comments against the plaintiff.
4. Mr. Jindal, learned counsel for defendant no.1, denies the aforesaid assertions of the plaintiff, and contends that the question whether the defendant's conduct is defamatory, is a matter of trial. He further submits that the defendant will not precipitate the situation.
5. In view of the aforesaid, the Court refrains from passing any interim



order and grants time to Mr. Jindal to file a proper reply as aforesaid.

6. List this matter on 19.08.2025 for consideration of I.A. 15781/2025.

PURUSHAINdra KUMAR KAURAV, J

JULY 29, 2025

aks/amg