



\$~SB-11 to 17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LPA 522/2022, CM APPL. 40048/2022, CM APPL. 48246/2022, CM APPL. 54265/2022, CM APPL. 26407/2023 and CM APPL. 71572/2025

GAUTAM TAHILRAMANI AND ANRAppellants

Through: Mr. Vikram Saini, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Subhash Tanwar, SPC with Mr. Sandeep Mishra, Mr. Harshit Deshwal, Mr. Mohit Kumar Tomar, Mr. Mayank Sehrawat, Adv. for R-1/UOI. Mr. Naseem Ahmed, Adv. for R-4.

Dr. Aman Hingorani, Sr. Adv. with Ms. Shreya Chopra, Adv. for R-5 to R-10.

Mr. S.R. Mehta, Adv. for Smt. Darshna Sachdeva.

(12)

+ LPA 630/2022, CM APPL. 47325/2022, CM APPL. 47326/2022, CM APPL. 63753/2023, CM APPL. 20819/2024, CM APPL. 21036/2024 and CM APPL. 71573/2025

SMT DARSHNA SACHDEVAAppellant

Through: Mr. S.R. Mehta, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Subhash Tanwar, SPC with Mr. Sandeep Mishra, Mr. Harshit Deshwal, Mr. Mohit Kumar Tomar, Mr. Mayank Sehrawat, Adv. for R-1/UOI. Mr. Shashank Dixit, CGSC with Mr. Kunal Ra, Adv. Mr. Naseem Ahmed, Adv. for R-5.

Dr. Aman Hingorani, Sr. Adv. with Ms. Shreya Chopra, Adv. for R-6 to R-11.



(13)

+ LPA 631/2022, CM APPL. 47341/2022 and CM APPL. 47342/2022

DARSHNA SACHDEVAAppellant

Through: Mr. S.R. Mehta, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS & ORS.Respondent

Through: Mr. Subhash Tanwar, SPC with
Mr. Sandeep Mishra, Mr.
Harshit Deshwal, Mr. Mohit
Kumar Tomar, Mr. Mayank
Sehrawat, Advs. for R-1/UOI.
Mr. Shashank Dixit, CGSC
with Mr. Kunal Ra, Adv.
Mr. Naseem Ahmed, Adv. for
R-5.
Dr. Aman Hingorani, Sr. Adv.
with Ms. Yukta Chauhan, Adv.
for R-6 to R-11.

(14)

+ LPA 632/2022, CM APPL. 47346/2022 and CM APPL. 47347/2022

DARSHNA SACHDEVAAppellant

Through: Mr. S.R. Mehta, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Subhash Tanwar, SPC with
Mr. Sandeep Mishra, Mr.
Harshit Deshwal, Mr. Mohit
Kumar Tomar, Mr. Mayank
Sehrawat, Advs. for R-1/UOI.
Mr. Shashank Dixit, CGSC
with Mr. Kunal Ra, Adv.
Mr. Naseem Ahmed, Adv. for
R-5.
Dr. Aman Hingorani, Sr. Adv.
with Ms. Yukta Chauhan, Adv.
for R-6 to R-11.

(15)

+ LPA 718/2022, CM APPL. 54380/2022, CM APPL. 54381/2022 and CM APPL.54382/2022



GAUTAM TAHILRAMANI & ANR.Appellants

Through: Mr. Vikram Saini, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Subhash Tanwar, SPC with
Mr. Sandeep Mishra, Mr.
Harshit Deshwal, Mr. Mohit
Kumar Tomar, Mr. Mayank
Sehrawat, Advs. for R-1/UOI.
Mr. Naseem Ahmed, Adv. for
R-4.

Dr. Aman Hingorani, Sr. Adv.
with Ms. Shreya Chopra, Adv.
for R-5 to R-10.

Mr. S.R. Mehta, Adv. for Smt.
Darshna Sachdeva.

(16)

+ LPA 719/2022, CM APPL. 54384/2022, CM APPL.
54385/2022, CM APPL.54386/2022, CM APPL. 63984/2023,
CM APPL. 20826/2024 & CM APPL. 20844/2024

GAUTAM TAHILRAMANI & ANR.Appellants

Through: Mr. Vikram Saini, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Subhash Tanwar, SPC with
Mr. Sandeep Mishra, Mr.
Harshit Deshwal, Mr. Mohit
Kumar Tomar, Mr. Mayank
Sehrawat, Advs. for R-1/UOI.
Mr. Naseem Ahmed, Adv. for
R-4.

Dr. Aman Hingorani, Sr. Adv.
with Ms. Shreya Chopra, Adv.
for R-5 to R-10.

Mr. S.R. Mehta, Adv. for Smt.
Darshna Sachdeva.

(17)

+ LPA 596/2023, CM APPL. 42896/2023, CM APPL.
42897/2023, CM APPL.42898/2023, CM APPL.42899/2023,
CM APPL. 43626/2023, CM APPL. 57646/2023, CM APPL.



57663/2023 & CM APPL. 65301/2023

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

.....Appellants

Through: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Mahapatra,
CGSC with Ms. Mrinmayee
Sahu, Mr. Tribhuvan, Mr.
Abhimanyu, Ms. Anushka
Sarraf, Advs.

versus

VIKRAM HINGORANI AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Dr. Aman Hingorani, Sr. Adv.
with Ms. Shreya Chopra, Adv.
for R-1 to R-6.
Mr. Naseem Ahmed, Adv. for
R-10.
Mr. S.R. Mehta, Adv. for Smt.
Darshna Sachdeva.
Mr. Subhash Tanwar, SPC with
Mr. Sandeep Mishra, Mr.
Harshit Deshwal, Mr. Mohit
Kumar Tomar, Mr. Mayank
Sehrawat, Advs. for UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN
SHANKAR**

ORDER

%

10.04.2026

1. Learned counsel representing the parties have been heard at some length.
2. Broadly stated, the Tahilramanis and the Hingoranis are co-sharers in a piece of immovable property admeasuring 800 sq. yds. Pursuant to orders passed by the Supreme Court, the Hingoranis have been delivered possession of their share of the immovable property, i.e., 400 sq. yds.
3. The present dispute pertains to the making of an entry (mutation/substitution of leasehold rights) in the records of L&DO.



The Hingoranis contend that the misuse charges in respect of the immovable property are not payable by them, as the alleged misuse was attributable to the Tahilramanis. It is further stated that these misuse charges relate to a period during which the Hingoranis were not in possession of the immovable property.

4. Learned counsel representing the parties seek and are granted liberty to file their respective written synopsis, not exceeding three pages each, before the next date of hearing.

5. List for final disposal on 24.04.2026.

6. It is clarified that no further request for adjournment shall be entertained.

7. A photocopy of the order passed today be kept in the connected matters.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J.

APRIL 10, 2026

jai/pal