



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 10967/2019, CM APPL. 43729/2023**
VINOD KUMAR MALIK & ANR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajiv Vig, Adv. for petitioners
including proposed LR's of petitioner
no. 1

versus

REGISTRAR COOPERATIVE SOCIETIES & ORS

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Parth Goyal, Adv. for Mr. Jawahar
Raja, ASC for R-1 & 3
Mr. Bharat Bhushan for respondent
no. 4 in person
Mr. G. K. Bharti and Mr. Tanish
Khurana, Advocates for R-5
None for R-2

CORAM: SH. SANJAY KUMAR SHARMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

%

15.02.2024

Today's Court proceedings are conducted through physical/hybrid mode.

CM APPL. 43729/2023 (u/O 22 Rule 3 CPC qua petitioner no. 1)

Pleadings qua respondent no. 4 are complete.

At the first call counsel for petitioner/applicant was present when the matter was passed over. Now at second call counsel for the petitioner/applicant is not available.

It is already stated by respondent nos. 1 & 3 that they have no objection to the applications being allowed.

Reply to the application stands filed by respondent no. 2 to which



counsel for the petitioner/ applicant has already stated that he does not wish to file any rejoinder.

Counsel for respondent no. 5 states that he is not disputing the factum of the applicants being the LRs of deceased petitioner no. 1. He is disputing the contents of the NOC affidavit filed by the LRs as well as signatures on the affidavit. Let the counsel for respondent no. 5 file reply within two weeks. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within four weeks thereafter. No further opportunity shall be granted for the purpose.

List on 04.04.2024, at the end of board.

REGISTRAR

FEBRUARY 15, 2024/pu