



\$~6

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
CONT.CAS(C) 1088/2024
COURT ON ITS OWN MOTION

.....Petitioner

Through:

versus

AJAY SINGH AND ORS

.....Respondent

Through: Mr Amit Sibal, Sr. Advocate with Mr
K. R. Sasiprabhu, Mr Kartikeya Asthama, Adv. for
Spice Jet.

Mr Ankur Mahindroo, Mr Ravi Nath, Mr. Rohan
Taneja, Mr Aditya Kapur, Mr. Abhijeet Mittal, Mr.
Siddhant Vyas and Ms Yashika Arora, Advs. for
applicant/TWC Aviation.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

25.09.2024

CM APPL. 50124/2024 –Dir. –Reply not filed.

1. The reply to this application stated to have been filed by the respondent is not on record. Similarly, the rejoinder stated to have been filed to the initial affidavit filed by the respondent in response to the Show Cause Notice issued in the petition is also not on record.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent is granted three days' time to have the same placed on record with a direction to the Registry not to return the rejoinder only on the ground of delay.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted three days'



time to file a rejoinder.

4. At this stage, it is pointed out by learned counsel for the parties that the initial affidavit filed by the respondent has been erroneously placed in the file pertaining to FAO(OS) (COMM) 102/2024. The Registry is directed to ensure that the said affidavit is placed on record of the present case.
5. List on the date already fixed.

REKHA PALLI, J

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

SEPTEMBER 25, 2024

acm