



\$~78

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P. 896/2024**

DIKSHA AGGHI & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Dipanshu Gaba & Mr.
Mukesh Sachdeva, Advs.

versus

MS. HARSHITA AGGHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

16.07.2024

CRL.M.A. 20513/2024 (*exemption from filing certified copy of documents/ annexures*).

1. Exemptions allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

CRL.REV.P. 896/2024

3. The present petition is filed challenging the order dated 29.04.2024, passed by the learned Metropolitan Magistrate (MM) in CC No. 2363/2023 titled as 'Diksha Aggi & Anr. v. Seema @ Harshita Aggi & Ors.' (hereafter '**the impugned order**').

4. The learned MM by impugned order has dismissed the application filed by the petitioners under Section 156(3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (**CrPC**). It was held that no fact / evidence is required to be collected by the police authorities as there is no requirement for investigation.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that specific allegations were made in regard to the offence under Section 354 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. He submits that the



specific allegations were made against the accused persons for outraging the modesty of the petitioners. He submits that once the allegations in regard to the cognizable offence are made, the FIR ought to have been registered.

6. Issue notice.
7. Notice be served through all permissible modes.
8. List on 10.09.2024.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

JULY 16, 2024
“SK”