



\$~59 to 61

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RC.REV. 507/2015 & CM APPL. 8455/2019

M/S GAINDA LAL RAM NARAYAN & ANRPetitioners

Through: Mr. S.C. Singhal, Advocate.

versus

NARAYAN SHAMNANIRespondent

Through: None

+ RC.REV. 563/2015, CM APPL. 23785/2015, 6966/2016, 14540/2016
& 68805/2024

M/S BELI RAM CHEMIST & ORSPetitioners

Through: Mr. S.C. Singhal, Advocate.

versus

NARAYAN SHAMNANIRespondent

Through: None

+ RC.REV. 99/2016, CM APPL. 5209/2016 & 68804/2024

YOGESH JAINPetitioner

Through: Mr. S.C. Singhal, Advocate.

versus

NARAYAN SHAMNANIRespondent

Through: None

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

%

11.03.2025

1. These matters have been listed on an office note.



2. It is pointed out that Paragraph 11.2 of the order dated 17.02.2025 was incorrectly uploaded. Accordingly, Paragraph 11.2 of the order dated 17.02.2025 is revised to read as follows:

“11.2 Thus, the averment of the Petitioners/tenants qua the bonafide need of the married daughters of the Respondent/landlord is without any merit.”

3. The order dated 17.02.2025 shall be read with the order passed today.
4. List on the date already fixed, i.e., 04.04.2025.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

MARCH 11, 2025/pa

Click here to check corrigendum, if any