



\$~6

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

LA.APP. 321/2022

UNION OF INDIA

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. S.S. Siddiqui, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pathak, Advocate

versus

SMT DHANPATI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Tajinder Viridi, Standing Counsel
For R-2/MCD (through vc)

CORAM: MS. KAUSHLYA VERMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

%

27.03.2025

**CM APPL. 36185/2024 [u/O 1 Rule 10 CPC moved by R-2/MCD
seeking impleadment of PWD as R-3]**

1. Respondent No.1 and proposed respondent No.3/PWD have been served. However, none is present for them despite service.
2. Let reply be filed by the non-applicants within six weeks.
3. Rejoinder, if any, be filed before the next date.
4. Re-notify on 29.08.2025.

LA.APP. 321/2022

5. Registry is directed to requisition the Trial Court Record duly paginated and book-marked in accordance with the rules of the High Court positively before the next date. Once TCR (online) is received, concerned dealing will report in office noting to this effect.



6. Parties to comply with directions contained in para 6 and 6.1 of order dated 12.02.2025 within six weeks.

7. Re-notify on 29.08.2025.

REGISTRAR

MARCH 27, 2025

vk