



\$~47

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P. 1067/2023**

PRIYANKA SRIVASTAVA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Nitin Saluja
(DHCLSC), Ms. Simran
Khurana & Ms. Sanskriti
Bansal, Advs.

versus

ANKUR SRIVASTAVA

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Ashutosh Jha &
Mr. Oleander D. Singh,
Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

04.09.2024

1. The learned counsel for the respondent, at the outset, submits that parties have obtained a decree of divorce on 21.01.2023.

2. He submits that in such circumstances, the aggrieved person, being the wife of the respondent at the relevant time when the complaint was filed under the provisions of Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, will not be entitled for any accommodation in the nature of shared household.

3. He submits that the aggrieved party is entitled to stay in a shared household till such time they are in a relationship, however, once the parties have, admittedly, obtained a decree of divorce and have decided to live separately, the aggrieved party will not be entitled for any order of alternate accommodation.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks time to produce authorities in support of his argument that even if the aggrieved party has obtained a decree of divorce, she will be entitled for an



order of alternate accommodation.

5. List on 07.11.2024.

6. The parties are at liberty to file short note of their submissions along with the authorities they seek to rely upon before the next date of hearing.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

SEPTEMBER 4, 2024

“SS”