



\$~14

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(COMM) 717/2021

MARICO LIMITED

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Sumit Wadhwa & Mr.
Akshay Bhardwaj, Advs.

versus

NARICO AGROVET PRIVATE LIMITED & ANR.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Kedar Yadav, Mr. Rahul
Yadav, Ms. Minakshi Yadav
& Mr. Sharun Daniel, Advs.

CORAM:

**SIDHARTH MATHUR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

ORDER

19.02.2025

%

The plaintiff has already filed the e-copy of the joint schedule of documents. Its physical copy be now filed in due course.

List the matter for admission-denial and marking of exhibits on **14.05.2025**.

IA No. 17167/2023 (U/O 1 Rule 10 (2) r/w Section 151 CPC on behalf of the plaintiff/applicant)

The reply filed by the proposed defendant nos. 3 to 8, vide diary no. e-1812655/2024 is lying under objections. I am being informed that the registry has objected to its delayed filing. The counsel for the proposed defendant nos. 3 to 8 orally prays for the condonation. The delay, if any, is condoned. The registry shall



place this reply on record  unless there are any further objections.

The proposed defendant nos. 3 to 8 are directed to supply the copy of the reply to the opposing counsel within a week, via email/whatsapp, whereafter the rejoinder be then filed in due course.

**SIDHARTH MATHUR (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

FEBRUARY 19, 2025/jr

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)