



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CS(OS) 659/2011
ANAND PRAKASH BANSAL Plaintiff
Through Mr. P. S. Vats, Advocate
Versus

NARESH BATRA Defendant
Through Mr. Aviral Tiwari, Advocate

CORAM:

SH. RAJESH KUMAR SINGH (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR

ORDER

% **29.11.2016**

DW1 is partly cross examined by learned counsel for plaintiff. Learned counsel for plaintiff has put questions to DW1 regarding ITRs in respect of the averments made in paragraph 12 of his affidavit. Learned counsel for defendant has objected to the relevance of asking the defendant / DW1 to produce the ITR for the relevant period. Learned counsel for defendant submits that the affidavit of DW1 is as per his pleadings but the question is beyond the scope of the issues framed in the suit. This issue also arose at the time of disposal of IA 6450/2016. Witness is supposed to depose in examination in chief according to whatever is relevant for the issues and entire pleading should not be reproduced in the affidavit. It would be proper to examine the affidavit of DW1 to ascertain what is relevant as per the issues before conducting his further cross examination.



2025:DHC:3199

Adjourned to 2nd December, 2016 at 02:00 pm for order on the point mentioned above.

RAJESH KUMAR SINGH (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR

NOVEMBER 29, 2016
ms