



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **LPA 577/2024**

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF FOREIGN TRADEAppellant

Through: Mrs. Ginny J. Rautray and Ms. Devika
Thakur, Advocates.

versus

KAMAL JIT CHIBBER AND ANRRespondents

Through: Respondent no.1 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

ORDER

% **11.08.2025**

CM APPL. 43648/2025

1. Heard the applicant (respondent no.1) who appears in person and learned counsel representing the appellant. This application has been moved with the following prayers:-

"1. The documents and records listed above may please be ordered to be summoned from the offices and departments indicated against each one of them to prove on records of this Hon'ble court that the Appellate Institute is a den of corruption despite its many Director Generals, Directors Registrar having been removed from their respective posts on continued complaints made by the respondent and others to high govt authorities, CBI, CVC, Police etc against their corruption and crimes and for action on yet the above noted 118 counsels of the Appellant Institute having obtained stay under misrepresentation and fraud that there was no corruption in the office of the Appellant Institute

2. Action may also please be taken against the counsels of the Appellant Institute for fraudulently obtaining stay by getting recorded their false statements in stay orders that the order of Information



Commissioner Shri M.A Khan Yusufi , Information Commissioner Yusufi is not the same as the order of their man Shri M. Sridhar Achhareyulu Information Commissioner was e v e n wh e n both the orders are the same as far as the directions to supply the information and documents sought by the respondent in his respective RTI applications to him are concerned .(The order of said Shri M.Sridhar Achareyulu is also directing to supply the documents and information sought by the respondent excepting those which are exempted to be provided under section 8 of the RTI Act and the same direction is there in the order dated 25.1.2016 of Shri M. Khan Yusufu is But still neither the Appellant Institute provided copies of documents as ordered by their man Shri Acharelu nor the order of Shri MA Khan Yusufi and instead got stay under misrepresentation and fraud that the order of Ld. MA Khan Yusufi was different than order of Shri Achareyulu)

3. In view of it , this Hon'ble court may be pleased to order cancellation of the practicing licenses of Ms. Ginny Routray and Ms Parul Kandoi to practice as Advocate for their above noted criminal act of obtaining stay order under misrepresentation and fraud."

2. The first prayer has been made by the applicant who is the respondent in the appeal in respect of certain allegations of corruption etc. The said prayer in this application cannot be granted for the reason that in this Intra-Court Appeal, this Court is concerned with the order passed by the learned Single Judge. Accordingly, the first prayer made in the application is rejected.

3. The second prayer made in the application is to take the action against the learned counsel representing the appellant for allegedly obtaining stay fraudulently by getting their statements recorded.

4. We do not find any good ground to accede to the said prayer and in any case, if the applicant is aggrieved by any conduct of the learned counsel, the proper course which may be available to the applicant will be to invoke the relevant provisions of the Advocates' Act, 1961 and the Rules framed thereunder.



5. Similarly, prayer (3) of the application is in respect of issuing a direction for cancellation of practicing licence of the learned counsel representing the appellant. The said prayer also does not lie within the scope of this LPA, which, accordingly, is hereby rejected.

6. The application is disposed of accordingly.

LPA 577/2024

7. List the matter for hearing on 27.10.2025.

DEVENDRA KUMAR UPADHYAYA, CJ

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J

AUGUST 11, 2025

kct