



\$~8

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

TEST.CAS. 112/2021

RAHUL TALWAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Jagdish Chander Vats, Adv.

versus

STATE AND OTHERS & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Avni Singh, Panel Counsel,
GNCTD with Mr. Gaurav
Mundra, Adv. for R-1/State

Ms. Anjali Sisodia, Adv. for R-3

Mr. Shivain Vaidialingam, Adv.

for R-4 to 6 & 10

(Mob.9873432071)

Ms. Tanisha Verma, Adv. for

R-8A & 9

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. PRIYA
MAHENDRA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

%

13.01.2025

**IA no.42250/2024 under Section 151 CPC moved by the
petitioner**

1. Learned counsel for the respondent no.4,5,6&10 already stated that he does not wish to file any reply to the captioned IA.

2. As per office note, reply to the captioned IA filed by respondent no.8A is lying under objections. It is stated by learned counsel for the respondent no.8A that she has filed the reply only three days back and requests to condone the delay. The same is opposed by learned counsel for the petitioner, who submit that vide order dated 15.10.2024, the last and final opportunity was granted to respondent no.8A to file reply within two weeks but despite the same, reply has not been filed on time by respondent no.8A. He submits that the reply is not filed intentionally on time by respondent no.8A as respondent no.8A wants to delay the present matter filed by the plaintiff. In view of the



submissions made the day in filing reply by respondent no.8A is condoned subject to imposition of cost of Rs.4000/- upon respondent no.8A to be paid to the plaintiff and respondent no.8A is directed to take requisite steps within two weeks as per law to bring reply on record, failing which reply of respondent no.8A will not be taken on record.

3. Rejoinder, if any, be filed by the applicant within two weeks with copy to the opposite side.

4. At request, copy of reply filed by respondent no.8A be supplied to learned counsel for respondent no.4 to 6 & 10 within one week through email.

Re-notify the captioned IA for completion of pleadings/consideration on the next date of hearing.

TEST.CAS. 112/2021

5. As per record, Valuation Report dated 13.07.2022 is already on record. Citations have been duly published in newspapers “Jansatta” and “Statesman” both dated 28.01.2022.

6. Pleadings qua respondent no.2,3,4,5,6&10 in the petition are already complete.

7. It is already stated by learned counsel for the respondent no.8&9 that respondent no.8&9 wants to adopt the reply/objections already filed by the respondent no.2 and also adopt Affidavit of Admission/Denial of documents filed by the respondent no.2.

8. The reply filed by the respondent no.5 & 10 to the objections of respondent no.2&3 was taken on record vide order dated 31.05.2023.

9. Further, the right of respondent no.3 to file rejoinder



to the reply of respondent no.5&10 has already been ordered to be closed.

10. The right of respondent no.2 to file rejoinder has already been ordered to be closed.

11. It is already stated by learned counsel for the respondent no.8(A) and 9 that respondent no.8(A) does not wish to file any rejoinder to the reply of respondent no.5&10.

12. As per record, rejoinder on behalf of respondent no.9 to the reply of respondent no.5&10 to objections of respondent no.2, is on record.

13. Previous cost of Rs.1500/- in terms of order dated 15.10.2024 in cash has been paid by learned counsel for respondent no.9 to learned respective counsel for the petitioner and respondent no.5&10 in the court today.

14. The respondent no.8A is directed to deposit cost of Rs.1000/- with Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee in terms of said order dated 15.10.2024 within two weeks and also directed to file proof of cost with the registry.

Re-notify the matter for further proceedings on 12.02.2025.

PRIYA MAHENDRA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
JANUARY 13, 2025/ab

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)