



\$~9

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

TEST.CAS. 112/2021

RAHUL TALWAR

.....Petitioner

Through: (Appearance not given)

versus

STATE AND OTHERS & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Divyam Nandrajog, Panel
Counsel, GNCTD with Mr. Fateh
Singh Bhullar, Adv. for
R-1/State

Ms. Anjali Sisodia, Adv. for R-3

Mr. Shivain Vaidialingam, Adv.
for R-4,5,6&10

(Mob.9873432071)

Mr. Raghav Saluja, Adv. for
R-8A & 9

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. PRIYA
MAHENDRA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

%

15.10.2024

**IA no.42250/2024 under Section 151 CPC moved by the
petitioner**

1. Learned counsel for the respondent no.4,5,6&10 accepts notice of the captioned IA and submits that he does not wish to file any reply to the captioned IA.

2. It is stated by learned counsel for the respondent no.8A & 9 that he could not file reply to the captioned IA within the timeline granted by this court vide order dated 29.07.2024 as he could not receive instructions from respondent no.8A as respondent no.8A has met with an accident. He seeks some more time to file the reply. At request, reply to the captioned IA be filed by respondent no.8A & 9 within two weeks as a last and final opportunity with copy to the opposite side, who is at liberty to file rejoinder, if any, within two weeks thereafter with copy to the opposite side.



3. Re-notify the abandoned IA for completion of pleadings on the next date of hearing.

TEST.CAS. 112/2021

4. As per record, Valuation Report dated 13.07.2022 is already on record. Citations have been duly published in newspapers “Jansatta” and “Statesman” both dated 28.01.2022.

5. Pleadings qua respondent no.2,3,4,5,6&10 in the petition are already complete.

6. It is already stated by learned counsel for the respondent no.8&9 that respondent no.8&9 wants to adopt the reply/objections already filed by the respondent no.2 and also adopt Affidavit of Admission/Denial of documents filed by the respondent no.2.

7. The reply filed by the respondent no.5 & 10 to the objections of respondent no.2&3 was taken on record vide order dated 31.05.2023.

8. Further, the right of respondent no.3 to file rejoinder to the reply of respondent no.5&10 has already been ordered to be closed.

9. The right of respondent no.2 to file rejoinder has already been ordered to be closed.

10. It is already stated by learned counsel for the respondent no.8(A) and 9 that respondent no.8(A) does not wish to file any rejoinder to the reply of respondent no.5&10.

11. As per record, rejoinder on behalf of respondent no.9 to the reply of respondent no.5&10 to objections of respondent no.2, is on record.

12. Learned counsel for the respondent no.9 undertakes



to pay the previous cost of Rs.1500/- each in cash to learned respective counsels for the petitioner and respondent no.5&10 during the course of day. Let needful be done as stated.

13. As per record, Reply/Objections alongwith Affidavit of Admission/Denial of the documents filed by respondent no.8A is already on record. The respondent no.8A has not deposited the previous cost of Rs.1000/- in Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee imposed vide order dated 22.01.2024.

Re-notify the matter for further proceedings on 13.01.2025.

PRIYA MAHENDRA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
OCTOBER 15, 2024/ab

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)