



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LA.APP. 143/2021

UNION OF INDIA

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pathak, SC with
Mr. Sunil Kr. Jha, Mr. M. S. Akhtar,
Advocates

versus

AMAR SINGH (DECEASED) THROUGH
LEGAL HEIRS AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN

ORDER

24.09.2024

%

CM APPL. 49731/2024 (for impleadment)

1. The instant application is filed under Order I Rule 10 CPC for impleadment of Rattan Singh, Roshni Devi and Kaushal Devi as respondent nos.2 to 4 in the present appeal.
2. It is stated that the proposed respondent nos.2 to 4 were impleaded before the reference court but could not be impleaded in the present appeal due to *bona fide* mistake. It is prayed that the parties as detailed in the para no.6 of the application be impleaded as respondent nos.2 to 4.
3. The perusal of the impugned judgment reflects that the persons/parties as referred in the para no.6 in the application were impleaded as the appellants before the reference court. The presence of the persons are required for the disposal of the present appeal. Accordingly, Rattan Singh,



Roshni Devi and Kaushal Devi are impleaded as respondent nos.2 to 4.

4. The amended memo of the parties is already on record.

5. The application stands disposed of.

LA.APP. 143/2021

6. Let notice to the respondent nos.2 to 4 be issued through all permissible modes on taking necessary steps by the appellant within 04 weeks.

7. List before the Registrar on 05.11.2024 as already fixed.

DR. SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN, J

SEPTEMBER 24, 2024/j