



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 14876/2021, CM APPL. 46976/2021(Stay)**

VASTU SHOBHA

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Neelam Rathore, Mr. Sanjeev Dagar, Ms. Sanya Dhingra, Mr. Kunal, Advs.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Rishikesh Kumar, ASC with Ms. Sheenu Priya and Mr. Sudhir Kumar, Advs. for R-1.
Mr. S. Bhardwaj, Mr. Vedant Bhardwaj, Mr. Vipul, Advs. for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHWANT VARMA

ORDER

%

05.04.2022

Notice shall issue to the respondents. Since both respondents are duly represented by learned counsel, let a counter affidavit be filed within a period of eight weeks.

For the purpose of considering the prayer for interim directions, the Court takes note of the principal submission of learned counsel who contends that the reference as made would not sustain since the relationship between the petitioner and the second respondent flows from a works contract.

Learned counsel additionally places reliance upon the judgement rendered by the Division Bench of the Court in **M/s Shree Gee Enterprises**



vs. Union of India & Anr. [2015 SCC OnLine Del 13163]. Matter requires consideration.

Till the next date of listing and for reasons aforenoted, no further proceedings shall be taken by the Council on the complaint as made.

List again on 13.09.2022.

YASHWANT VARMA, J.

APRIL 5, 2022/neha