



\$~30 – 41, 43 – 61, 63 - 65

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

30.

+ W.P.(C) 8978/2025 & CM APPL. 38355/2025
MAAJANAKI INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT AND
TECHNOLOGY & ANR.Petitioners
versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.
.....Respondents

31.

+ W.P.(C) 8979/2025, CM APPL. 38359, CM 38360/2025
SRINIDHI TEACHER TRAINING INSTITUTEPetitioner
versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.
.....Respondents

32.

+ W.P.(C) 8981/2025 & CM APPL. 38361/2025
LAV KUSH SHIKSHAN SANSTHAN & ANR.Petitioners
versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.
.....Respondents

33.

+ W.P.(C) 8982/2025 & CM APPL. 38362/2025
SAHAYA INSTITUTE OF ADVANCED STUDIES IN
EDUCATIONPetitioner
versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR
.....Respondents

34.

+ W.P.(C) 8984/2025 & CM APPL. 38366/2025
MAHARANA PRATAP MAHAVIDYALAYA (B.ED).....Petitioner
versus



NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR
.....Respondents

35.

+

W.P.(C) 8986/2025 & CM APPL. 38369/2025

ST JOSEPH COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

.....Petitioner

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR
.....Respondents

36.

+

W.P.(C) 8988/2025 & CM APPL. 38372/2025

SMT. KRISHNA MAHAVIDYALAYA

.....Petitioner

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR
.....Respondent

37.

+

W.P.(C) 8989/2025 & CM APPL. 38373/2025

MANYAVAR KANSHIRAM MAHAVIDYALAYA.....Petitioner

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR
.....Respondents

38.

+

W.P.(C) 8991/2025 & CM APPL. 38427/2025

SORABH COLLEGE OF EDUCATION & ANR.....Petitioners

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.
.....Respondents

39.

+

W.P.(C) 8992/2025 & CM APPL. 38375/2025

MAGAS SAMAJ SEVA MANDALS COLLEGE OF PHYSICAL
EDUCATION & ANR.Petitioners

versus



NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.
.....Respondents

40.
+ W.P.(C) 8994/2025 & CM APPL. 38378/2025
S.P. COLLEGEPetitioner
versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR
.....Respondents

41.
+ W.P.(C) 8995/2025 & CM APPL. 38379/2025
PT. HEERALAL CHHAVIRAJ KUNWARI MAHAVIDYALAYAPetitioner
versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.
.....Respondents

43.
+ W.P.(C) 9020/2025 & CM APPL. 38414/2025
RAM PAL BITANA DEVI MAHAVIDYALAYAPetitioner
versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR
.....Respondents

44.
+ W.P.(C) 9021/2025, CM APPL. 38415/2025 & CM 38416/2025
RANGUMUDRI M.ED COLLEGEPetitioner
versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.
.....Respondents

45.
+ W.P.(C) 9023/2025 & CM APPL. 38418/2025
S.P. COLLEGE OF EDUCATIONPetitioner
versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR
.....Respondents



46.
+ W.P.(C) 9025/2025 & CM APPL. 38421/2025
MEENATCHI PHYSICAL EDUCATION COLLEGE.....Petitioner
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.
.....Respondents
47.
+ W.P.(C) 9039/2025, CM APPL. 38469/2025 & CM APPL.
38470/2025
VIMLA VIKRAM MAHAVIDYALAYAPetitioner
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.
.....Respondents
48.
+ W.P.(C) 9040/2025 & CM APPL. 38472/2025
SDS MAHAVIDYALAYAPetitioner
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR
.....Respondents
49.
+ W.P.(C) 9042/2025 & CM APPL. 38476/2025
LAV KUSH MAHAVIDYALAYA & ANR.Petitioners
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.
.....Respondents
50.
+ W.P.(C) 9043/2025 & CM APPL. 38482/2025
MAHARANA PRATAP MAHAVIDYALAYA (D.EL.ED)
.....Petitioner
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR



.....Respondent

51.

+

W.P.(C) 9044/2025 & CM APPL. 38484/2025

SHRI BALAJI SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL ADHYAPAK
VIDYALAY

.....Petitioner

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents

52.

+

W.P.(C) 9045/2025, CM APPL. 38488/2025 & CM APPL.
38489/2025

GONNA COLLEGE OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION.....Petitioner

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents

53.

+

W.P.(C) 9046/2025 & CM APPL. 38492/2025

SRINIVASA COLLEGE OF ELEMENTARY EDUCATION

.....Petitioner

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR

.....Respondents

54.

+

W.P.(C) 9047/2025, CM APPL. 38493/2025 & CM
APPL.38494/2025

VARDHMAN COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

.....Petitioner

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents

55.

+

W.P.(C) 9048/2025 & CM APPL. 38496/2025

SRI PADMAVATHI COLLEGE OF EDUCATION.....Petitioner



versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR
.....Respondents

56.

+

W.P.(C) 9049/2025 & CM APPL. 38497/2025

J B MAHAJAN MAHILA PG COLLEGE

.....Petitioner

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR
.....Respondents

57.

+

W.P.(C) 9050/2025 & CM APPL. 38498/2025

RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT
& ANR.

.....Petitioners

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.
.....Respondents

58.

W.P.(C) 9051/2025 & CM APPL. 38499/2025

SRI RUDRA SHIV SNSK INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

.....Petitioner

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR
.....Respondents

59.

+

W.P.(C) 9052/2025 & CM APPL. 38503/2025

SHRI KHANDERAYA PRATISHTHAN

.....Petitioner

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR
.....Respondents

60.

+

W.P.(C) 9053/2025 & CM APPL. 38508/2025

SESHACHALA VENKAT SUBBAIAH COLLEGE.....Petitioner

versus



NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR
.....Respondents

61.
+ W.P.(C) 9054/2025 & CM APPL. 38516/2025
SERVITE COLLEGE OF EDUCATION FOR WOMEN.....Petitioner
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.
.....Respondents

63.
+ W.P.(C) 9057/2025 & CM APPL. 38564/2025
INDRA NARAYAN KRISHAN KUMAR MAHAVIDYALAYA
.....Petitioner
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR
.....Respondents

64.
+ W.P.(C) 9058/2025 & CM APPL. 38565/2025
SESHACHALA VENKAT SUBBAIAH D.P.ED COLLEGE
.....Petitioner
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR
.....Respondents

65.
+ W.P.(C) 9077/2025 & CM APPL. 38616/2025
URMILA MAHAVIDYALAYA
.....Petitioner
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
.....Respondent

Appearance:

Counsels on behalf of petitioners

1. Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Ms. Priti Kumari and Mr. Mrinal Kishor, Advs. in item nos. 30, 32, 38, 39, 49 and 57.
2. Mr. Mayank Manish, Mr. Ravi Kant, Mr. Vineet Upadhyaya and Mr. Jayant Dubey, Advs. in item nos. 33-37, 40, 43, 45, 48, 50, 53, 55, 56, 58, 59, 60, 63, 64.



3. Mr. Pankaj Sharma, Mr. Rajeev Kumar Dubey and Mr. Saurabh Singh Chauhan, Advs. in item no.41.
4. Mr. Gaurav Arora, Adv. in item nos. 44, 52 and 54.
5. Mr. Rishabh Kumar, Ms. Ritu Kumari, Ms. Tanya Kaushik and Ms. Veenu Singhal, Advs. in item no. 31.
6. Mr. Gaurav Sarkar, Mr. Animesh Upadhyay, Mr. Suryansh Singh Suryavanshi and Ms. Monika Bhardwaj, Advs. in item no. 47.
7. Ms. Arunima Dwivedi, Ms. Swati Jhunjunwala, Advs. in item nos. 46 and 61.

Counsels on behalf of respondents

1. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, Mr. Shivam Sethi and Mr. Nandeesh Nanda, Advs. for R-1 and R-2 in item nos. 30 – 41, 43 – 61, 63 - 65

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

04.07.2025

1. In the present batch of matters the challenge is to the withdrawal of recognition of the petitioner institutions by the respective regional committees of the NCTE.
2. The recognition has been withdrawn in these cases, *inter alia*, on the ground that there was no submission of PAR for the academic sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23 in terms of the public notice issued by the NCTE, and in some cases the withdrawal of recognition is premised on the ground that there was no reply given to the show cause notice.
3. The learned counsels for the petitioners submit that the premise on which recognition has been withdrawn by the respective regional counsel is not tenable. Elaborating on this, it is submitted that in some of the cases the PAR was submitted and there was an acknowledgment with regard to the submission of such PAR, but notwithstanding such submissions, the



impugned order of withdrawal came to be passed.

4. In cases where recognition has been withdrawn on the ground of non-filing of reply to the show cause notice, the submission is that the reply to the show cause notice was given either through mail or sent through speed post, however, the same was not considered.

5. It is submitted that in similar matters including, **W.P.(C) 8133/2025** titled as ***Mahavir Teacher Training School vs. National Council for Teacher Education and Anr.***, notice has been issued by this Court and the impugned order has been stayed till the next date of hearing with further direction to permit the petitioner(s) therein to participate in counselling and admit students for the academic session 2025-26.

6. The attention of the Court is invited to the decision ***NCTE and Anr. vs. Vaishnav Institute of Technology and Management, (2012) 5 SCC 139***, particularly to para 28 thereof which reads as under:

“28. Derecognition or withdrawal of recognition of a recognised institution is a drastic measure. It results in dislocating the students, teachers and the staff. That is why, the Council has been empowered under Section 13 to have a constant vigil on the functioning of a recognised institution. On the recommendation of the Council after inspection, if a recognised institution does not rectify the deficiencies and continues to function in contravention of the provisions of the 1993 Act or the Rules or the Regulations, the Regional Committee under Section 17 has full power to proceed for withdrawal of recognition in accordance with the procedure prescribed therein.”

(emphasis supplied)

7. It is further submitted that as per SOP, two show cause notices are required to be issued, but in all these cases only one show cause notice was issued.



8. In view of the above, issue notice. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent accepts notice. He submits that the present petition ought not to be entertained for the reason that there is a statutory remedy available in terms of Section 18 of the NCTE Act, against the order of withdrawal of recognition.

9. He further submits that in some cases, the submission of PAR was defective, therefore recognition was withdrawn, whereas in some cases, replies to the show-cause notices were filed after passing of withdrawal order, therefore, the same were not considered.

10. However, on being queried by the Court, Mr. Kapoor fairly states that in similar matters notice has been issued and interim relief has also been granted.

11. Let counter affidavit be filed within a period of four weeks. Rejoinder thereto be filed within a period of two weeks thereafter.

12. Re-notify on 20.08.2025 alongwith W.P.(C) 8133/2025.

13. In the meanwhile, having regard to the submissions noted hereinabove, which *prima facie* appears to have substance, and further regard being had to the fact that in similar matters stay has been granted while issuing notice, the operation of the impugned withdrawal orders/decisions is stayed till the next date of hearing and the petitioners are permitted to participate in the counselling and admit students in the academic session 2025-26.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

JULY 4, 2025-N.S. ASWAL