



\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 236/2025**
VANDANAAppellant
Through: Mr.Rajeev Garg, Adv.

versus
YASH SONIKRespondent
Through: Nemo.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RENU BHATNAGAR

ORDER

% **04.07.2025**
CM APPL. 38446/2025 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 236/2025 & CM APPL. 38447/2025

2. This appeal has been filed by the appellant, challenging the Impugned Order dated 08.01.2025 passed by the learned Judge, Family Court-01, North-East District, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the, 'Family Court') in HMA No 335/2023 titled ***Yash Sonik v. Vandana***, whereby the learned Family Court dismissed the application filed by the appellant under Order IX Rule 7 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (CPC).

3. Issue notice to the respondent, to be served through all permissible modes, including through the counsel representing the respondent before the learned Family Court, returnable on 7th November, 2025.

4. Having perused the contents of the appeal, we direct that the learned Family Court shall not proceed with the adjudication of HMA Case No. 335/2023 till the next date of hearing and shall fix a date in



the said petition beyond the date fixed by this Court.

5. Reply, if any, be filed within a period of two weeks of receipt of the notice. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within a period of two weeks thereafter.

6. Let the record of the learned Family Court be requisitioned in a digital form for the next date of hearing.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

RENU BHATNAGAR, J

JULY 4, 2025/rv/DG

Click here to check corrigendum, if any