



\$~4

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
CRL.A. 408/2021

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

.....Appellant

Through:

Mr. Ritesh Kumar Bahri, APP for the
State with Mr. Lalit Luthra, Advocate
(M- 9910645959).
SI Preeti Saini, PS Kanjhawala.

versus

RAVI KHATTAR & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through:

Mr. Gaurav Chaudhry and Mr. Arpit
Bamal, Advocates for R-2 to 7 and 9 to
11 (through VC) (M- 9810359500).
Mr. Kartickay Mathur and Mr.
Shankar, Advocate for R-12.

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

%

08.07.2024

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present criminal appeal under Section 378(1) of Cr.P.C has been filed by the State against the judgment dated 21st September 2019 passed by ASJ-01, Rohini Courts, Delhi in Case FIR No. 99/2013, whereby the Ld. ASJ acquitted the Respondents for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 376, 328, 506, 377 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 4 and 6 of POCSO Act, 2012.
3. Report with regard to the Bailable Warrants issued against Respondent Nos. 1, 8, 12 and 13 vide order dated 26th February, 2024 has been returned with following remarks:

“Respondent no. 1.....expired on 1st July, 2021

Respondent no. 8.....served

Respondent no. 12.....served



Respondent no. 13.....left from the record address and gone to some unknown place.”

4. The Respondent No. 1 is stated to have passed away on 1st July, 2021. In view of the same, the appeal stands abated against the Respondent No. 1.
5. Mr. Kartickay Mathur appears and submits that Respondent No. 12 is on bail.
6. Insofar as Respondent No. 13 is concerned, he has already been declared as a proclaimed offender.
7. Ld. Counsel– Mr. Chaudhary appearing on behalf of Respondent No. 2, submits that the latter is unable to arrange a surety till date since the passing of the order of 16th December, 2021.
8. Accordingly, Respondent No. 2 is directed to furnish a personal bond of Rs. 10,000/- to the satisfaction of worthy Registrar General of this Court. The Respondent No. 2 shall furnish surety of the like amount after a period of 3 months thereafter to the satisfaction of worthy Registrar General of this Court.
9. Insofar as Respondent No. 8 is concerned, issue Non-Bailable Warrants against the Respondent No. 8, to be served through SHO concerned for the next date of hearing.
10. Respondent No. 8 shall be produced before this Court on the next date of hearing.
11. List for hearing of the appeal on 27th August, 2024.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.

AMIT SHARMA, J.

JULY 08, 2024/sn/NS/bh