



\$~73

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CRL.M.C. 4287/2025 & CRL.M.A.Nos. 18688-89/2025

GOPAL ANSAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Dr. Sushil Kumar Gupta,
Mr. Vikas Aggarwal, Mrs.
Sunita Gupta and Mr.
Sakshit Bhardwaj,
Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Ajay Vikram Singh,
APP for the State with
Mahavir Jogi, PS
Barakhamba Road.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

07.07.2025

%

1. The present petition is filed seeking quashing of FIR No. 21/2025 dated 11.02.2025, registered at Police Station Barakhamba Road for the offences under Sections 420/406/120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC').
2. The FIR was registered on a complaint given by one Manoj Anand thereby alleging that he had paid a sum of ₹3,56,000/- from 17.12.2024 to 06.09.2006 in regard to purchase of certain land in a project being developed by the accused company namely M/s. Ansal Buildwell Limited. The petitioner is stated to be the director of the accused company at the relevant time. It is alleged that no property was ever handed over to the complainant.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the project could not see the light of the day since Jaipur Development Authority did not give permission to convert the



status of the land. He submits that various letters were written to the complainant for refund of money, however, no response was received and the complaint has now belatedly been made after almost two decades.

4. *Prima facie* this Court has been unable to figure out why such FIR after two decades was registered by the police authorities.

5. The learned counsel for petitioner further submits that the accused company, even otherwise, had always been willing to refund the entire money with the reasonable interest to the complainant. He submits that in order to show his *bona fide* a sum of ₹10,00,000/- shall be deposited by the petitioner with the Registrar General of this Court within a period of four weeks.

6. Issue notice.

7. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State accepts notice.

8. Let the status report be filed by the State before the next date of hearing.

9. On filing an amended memo of parties by the petitioner, issue notice to the complainant returnable on the next date of hearing.

10. List on 10.09.2025.

11. The State is directed not to take coercive steps against the petitioner till the next date of hearing.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

JULY 7, 2025

DU