



\$~22

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CS(COMM) 558/2024

SPREAD HOME PRODUCTS PVT. LTD

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Shubham Dayma, Adv.
(M- 9999954005)
(shubhamsagardayma@gmail.com)

versus

HOMESCAPES @ KESRI TRANSCONTINENTAL

.....Defendant

Through: Ms. Swapnil Gaur, Adv.
(M- 9897905254)

CORAM:
SIDHARTH MATHUR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)

ORDER
24.10.2024

%

IA No. 42800/2024 [u/c 8 Rule 1 of Delhi High Court (OS)
Rules r/w Order 11 Rule 4 CPC for & on behalf of the plaintiff
seeking leave to deliver interrogatories to the defendant & for
discovery &/or inspection of documents in possession of the
defendant]

The counsel for the plaintiff states that the notice is not required to be issued as per the applicable rules till the application is finally allowed. Keeping in view the nature of the application, I am however inclined to issue notice, to which the counsel for the plaintiff is resisting. Accordingly at this stage, both the counsels are requesting for placing this application before the Hon'ble Court.



Accordingly be placed before the Hon'ble Court on the date already fixed i.e. **05.11.2024**.

The counsel for the plaintiff states that he has annexed his interrogatories/details of the documents alongside this application, which may not be revealed to the adversary till the disposal of this application. He thus requests that till the next hearing, the inspection of those interrogatories/details of the documents be not permitted. The registry is accordingly directed not to allow the inspection of the interrogatories/details of the documents filed with this application till 05.11.2024.

**SIDHARTH MATHUR (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

OCTOBER 24, 2024/jr

Click here to check corrigendum, if any