



\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1428/2023 CM APPL. 5423/2024**

MRS RASHI KOCHHAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sandeep Agarwal, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Rajesh Pathak Advocate and
Petitioner in-person.

versus

MR MANEESH KOCHHAR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sunil Kalra, Ms. Anamika Malik,
Advts. along with respondent in
person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

02.04.2025

%

1. Mr. Sandeep Agarwal, Senior Counsel for the petitioner, relies upon the decision of a Coordinate Bench of this Court in **Anurag Goel v Chhavi Agarwal** 2023:DHC:5597 where the aspect of resiling from a settlement agreement filed before the Family Court has been taken into account; the Court has held that contempt would lie in such cases.
2. Senior Counsel for petitioner, seeks to rely on other decisions in this matter, which shall be filed on record, before the next date of hearing.
3. Counsel for respondent, however, states that there is a difference between an 'undertaking' given to a Court and a 'settlement agreement' and contempt cannot lie in the latter situation. He relies upon the decision of the Supreme Court in **Balwantbhai Somabhai Bhandari v Hiralal Somabhai Contractor (Deceased) Rep. by LRs & Ors.** AIR 2023 SC 4390, a copy of



which has been handed up to the Court.

4. List on 22nd April 2025 at 3:30 P.M.
5. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

APRIL 2, 2025/MK/na