



\$~4, 19 to 41, 43, 44 & 49

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 10055/2025

MITTER SAIN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Hardik Giri, Mr. Pranav Singh
Gautam, Mr. Hiksh Kumar & Mr.
Vedant Pradhan, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Manish Kumar, SPC for R-1 to 3.

(19)

+ W.P.(C) 4619/2025

ARUN KUMAR SAXENA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Raunak Jain, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Anshuman Singh, SPC with Mr.
Vidhur Dwivedi, GP and Mr. Fahim
Khan, Adv.

(20)

+ W.P.(C) 5699/2025

MRITYUNJAY KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shrey Kumar, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondent



Through: Mr. Siddhartha Shankar Ray, CGSC
with Ms. Smritika Kesri, adv. and Mr.
Kapil Dev Yadav, Govt. Pleader.
Mr. Anshuman Singh, SPC with Mr.
Vidhur Dwivedi, GP and Mr. Fahim
Khan, Adv.

(21)

+ W.P.(C) 4621/2025

SANJOY KUMAR PAULPetitioner

Through: Mr. Raunak Jain, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondent

Through: Mr. Anshuman Singh, SPC with Mr.
Vidhur Dwivedi, GP and Mr. Fahim
Khan, Adv.

Mr. Mukul Singh, CGSC with Ms. Ira
Singh and Mr. Aryan Dhaka, Advs. for
R-1

(22)

+ W.P.(C) 4622/2025

BISWAJIT BANERJEEPetitioner

Through: Mr. Raunak Jain, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondent

Through: Mr. Anshuman Singh, SPC with Mr.
Vidhur Dwivedi, GP and Mr. Fahim
Khan, Adv.

Mr. Anil Kumar Saxena, SPC and Mr.
Rajendra Rawat, GP for R-1.



(23)

+ W.P.(C) 4623/2025

DEO KUMAR GHATAKPetitioner

Through: Mr. Raunak Jain, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondent

Through: Mr. Anshuman Singh, SPC with Mr. Vidhur Dwivedi, GP and Mr. Fahim Khan, Adv.

Mr.Ajay Jain, SPC with Mr.Krishna Sharma, Mr.Manoj, Ms.Bijay Lakshmi and Mr.MN Mishra, Advocates for R-1

(24)

+ W.P.(C) 4663/2025

NARENDRAKANT G GITEPetitioner

Through: Mr. Raunak Jain, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondent

Through: Mr. Anshuman Singh, SPC with Mr. Vidhur Dwivedi, GP and Mr. Fahim Khan, Adv.

Mr. Puneet Yadav, SPC for UOI.

(25)

+ W.P.(C) 6272/2025

HIMANI BANSALPetitioner

Through: Mr. Shrey Kumar, Adv.

versus



UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondent
Through: Mr. Sharang Dhulia (CGSC) for R-1
(UOI)

(26)

+ W.P.(C) 6274/2025

SHRI KRISHAN GUPTAPetitioner
Through: Mr. Shrey Kumar, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondent
Through: Mr. Rahul Sharma, SPC with Mr. Mani
Kant, Ms. Sikha Singh and Mr. Rohit
Rama, Advs.

(27)

+ W.P.(C) 6352/2025

RAJ GUPTAPetitioner
Through: Mr. Shrey Kumar, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondent
Through: Mr. Abhishek Gupta, CGSC with Mr.
Shaswat Kumar Pandey, Advs.

(28)

+ W.P.(C) 6353/2025

SATYAM AGGARWALPetitioner
Through: Mr. Shrey Kumar, Adv.



versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through:

(29)

+ W.P.(C) 6984/2025 CM APPL. 31516/2025

MALATHI HARIHARAN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Faiz Khan, Mr. Dipak Bhattacharya,
Mr. Sohhom Sau, Advs.

versus

THE UNION OF INDIA, THROUGH MINISTRY OF
COOPERATION & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Dr. B. Ramaswamy, CGSC for UOI

(30)

+ W.P.(C) 6987/2025 CM APPL. 31527/2025

CHITYALA JAYARAM

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Faiz Khan, Mr. Dipak Bhattacharya,
Mr. Sohhom Sau, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA, THROUGH MINISTRY OF COOPERATION &
ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Dr. B. Ramaswamy, CGSC for UOI

(31)

+ W.P.(C) 6991/2025 CM APPL. 31539/2025

M. RAZI ANWAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Faiz Khan, Mr. Dipak Bhattacharya,



Mr. Sohhom Sau, Advs.

versus

THE UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Dr. B. Ramaswamy, CGSC for UOI

(32)

+ W.P.(C) 6992/2025 CM APPL. 31541/2025

VIJAY DAVE

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Faiz Khan, Mr. Dipak Bhattacharya,
Mr. Sohhom Sau, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Dr. B. Ramaswamy, CGSC for UOI

(33)

+ W.P.(C) 8969/2025

SAURABH AGGARWAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Hardik Giri, Mr. Pranav Singh
Gautam, Mr. Hiksh Kumar & Mr.
Vedant Pradhan, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Vedansh Anand, GP for UOI
Mr. Rakesh Kumar, CGSC with Mr.
Sunil, Adv. for R-1&R-2/UOI

(34)

+ W.P.(C) 8972/2025



ALOK KUMAR AGGARWAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Hardik Giri, Mr. Pranav Singh
Gautam, Mr. Hiksh Kumar & Mr.
Vedant Pradhan, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Siddhartha Shankar Ray, CGSC
with Ms. Smritika Kesri, Adv. for R-1 to
R-3.

(35)

+ W.P.(C) 9384/2025

PREM KUMAR ARORA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Hardik Giri, Mr. Pranav Singh
Gautam, Mr. Hiksh Kumar & Mr.
Vedant Pradhan, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through:

(36)

+ W.P.(C) 9397/2025

CHAND SAROOP

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Hardik Giri, Mr. Pranav Singh
Gautam, Mr. Hiksh Kumar & Mr.
Vedant Pradhan, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondent



2024-DHC-3605-DB

Through: Mr. Rakesh Kumar, CGSC with Mr.
Sunil, Adv. for R-1&2/IOI
Mr. Premtosh K. Mishra, CGSC with
Mr. Sarthak Anand, Mr. Prarabdh
Tiwari, Advs.

(37)

+ W.P.(C) 9398/2025

SHUBHANKAR BHATTACHARYAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Hardik Giri, Mr. Pranav Singh
Gautam, Mr. Hiksh Kumar & Mr.
Vedant Pradhan, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Mishra, SPC with Ms. Anita
R. Mishra, Adv. for R-1 to R-3

(38)

+ W.P.(C) 9421/2025

AJAY KUMARPetitioner

Through: Mr. Hardik Giri, Mr. Pranav Singh
Gautam, Mr. Hiksh Kumar & Mr.
Vedant Pradhan, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondent

Through: Mr. Puneet Yadav, SPC for IOI

(39)

+ W.P.(C) 9552/2025



NIRMAL DEVI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Hardik Giri, Mr. Pranav Singh
Gautam, Mr. Hiksh Kumar & Mr.
Vedant Pradhan, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rakesh Kumar, CGSC with Mr.
Sunil, Adv. for R-1&2/UOI

(40)

+ W.P.(C) 9731/2025

PURAN CHAND

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Hardik Giri, Mr. Pranav Singh
Gautam, Mr. Hiksh Kumar & Mr.
Vedant Pradhan, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Seema Gulati Adv. with Mr.
Prashant Rawat, GP for R-1.

(41)

+ W.P.(C) 9834/2025

MAHENDRA KUMAR KATHPAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Hardik Giri, Mr. Pranav Singh
Gautam, Mr. Hiksh Kumar & Mr.
Vedant Pradhan, Advs.

versus



UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondent
Through: Mr. Kushagra Kumar, SPC for UOI.

(43)

+ W.P.(C) 9594/2025

NAVNIT GHAIPetitioner
Through: Mr. Hardik Giri, Mr. Pranav Singh
Gautam, Mr. Hiksh Kumar & Mr.
Vedant Pradhan, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondent
Through: Mr. Piyush Gupta, CGSC with Mr.
Atishay Jain, Mr. Prateek Kapur, Mr.
Manoj Kumar Jain, Mr. Porus Raj Nagar
& Mr. Chirag Gupta, Adv. for R-1/UOI.

(44)

+ W.P.(C) 9633/2025

MEENA RANI NAYAKPetitioner
Through: Mr. Hardik Giri, Mr. Pranav Singh
Gautam, Mr. Hiksh Kumar & Mr.
Vedant Pradhan, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondent
Through: Ms. Shagun Shahi Chugh, SPC with Ms.
Nandita Mishra, Adv.

(49)

+ W.P.(C) 9691/2025



DHARAM PAL SHARMAPetitioner
Through: Mr. Hardik Giri, Mr. Pranav Singh
Gautam, Mr. Hiksh Kumar & Mr.
Vedant Pradhan, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondent
Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, SPC with Mr. Yash
Narain & Ms. Ojaswini Jain, Advs. for
R-1.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER
% **22.07.2025**

1. The issue pertains to the implementation of the Order of Cooperative Ombudsman passed in exercise of powers under Section 85A (Chapter IX A) of Multi-State Cooperative Society Act, 2002 (*'the Act'*).
2. The petitioners are depositors with the Steel Authority of India Limited Employees Cooperative Credit Society Ltd. (*'Society'*).
3. Since their request for encashment of the deposit was not honoured, they were required to take recourse to the remedy provided under Section 85A of the Act of 2002.
4. The Ombudsman, which is armed with the authority to pass appropriate order under Section 85A of the Act of 2002, directed that the amount of these petitioners to be refunded with interest within a period of 15 days from the date



2024-DHC-2605-DB

of the complaint received.

5. Even subsequent thereto, an attempt made by petitioners to get their amount from the Credit Cooperative Society, which remained unattended at the end of the office bearers of the Society, which prompted them to approach this Court.

6. Though, on earlier occasion, two opportunities were granted to the counsel appearing for the respondent/Society to make its submissions and to honour the order of the Ombudsman particularly as reflected in the Order dated 09.04.2025 and 01.05.2025, no response has been received from the Society or its counsel.

7. It appears that the Society is not inclined towards complying with the orders of the Ombudsman and also extend cooperation or assistance in the matter of deciding the issue, which is brought before this Court by the petitioners.

8. Petitioners' appear to be senior citizens, who have deposited their hardened money in the Society so as to have support during their advanced age.

9. Some of the petitioners appear to be retired from the public sector undertakings and the family members are facing hardship, as in one case it is sought to be demonstrated that his spouse is suffering from cancer.

10. As far as the orders of the Ombudsman which are sought to be implemented in this case, there is a statutory remedy provided under the Act of 2002. Once such remedy is provided by the Act, there ought to be a mechanism to implement the order and for taking the same to its logical end.

11. We are afraid as we are unable to notice any such mechanism under the



2024-DHC-3605-DB

Act.

12. In such an eventuality, the option left is to direct the Central Registrar to file an affidavit within one week thereby suggesting the mode and measures as to how these statutory orders like the one passed by the Ombudsman can be enforced and brought to its logical end.

13. As far as the default on the part of the Society and its office bearers are concerned, we are constrained to observe that in spite of service, they have chosen not to appear in the matter; if they fail to appear on the next date of hearing, the Court will be constrained to take the same as a conduct showing disrespect and disregard to the orders of this Court as a sequel of which, this Court will initiate contempt proceedings or will issue arrest warrants against the office bearers of the Society.

14. We permit the petitioners to serve this Order on the office bearers of the Society.

15. We further direct that if compliance is not made of the aforesaid order, arrest warrants or issuance of contempt proceedings will be made against these officials, having regard to the fact that the previous orders passed by this Court on 09th April 2025 and 14th May 2025, are not complied with either by the Society or its office bearers.

16. List on 01st August, 2025.

W.P.(C) 9594/2025, W.P.(C) 9633/2025 & W.P.(C) 9691/2025

17. Issue notice.

18. Learned counsels enter appearance on behalf of Union of India.

19. On petitioner's taking steps, let notice be issued to the remaining



respondents returnable on 01st August, 2025. The learned counsel for the petitioners undertake to serve the remaining respondents before the next date of hearing.

NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE, J

ANISH DAYAL, J

JULY 22, 2025/ab