



\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **TEST.CAS. 53/2024**

SHOUNAYA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Nishant Rai Goel & Mr.
Manoj Kumar, Advs. for petitioner
with Ms. Rakhi, mother of the
petitioner (M: 9891041372)

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Avni Singh, Panel Counsel with
Mr. Abhishek Yadav, Adv. for
GNCTD/R-1.

Mr. Mukesh Birla, Adv. for R-2 &
R-3.

Ms. Sonia Behra, Adv. for R-5
(through VC).

Mr. Rohan Singla, Adv. for R-6.

AR/employee of R-8 (appearance
not given).

Ms. Sampanna Pani, Adv. for R-9 /
IDFC First Bank Ltd. (through
VC).

None for R-4, 6 and 7.

D-10 was already deleted vide
order dated 26.09.2024.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. GAGANDEEP
JINDAL (DHJS)**

ORDER

% **25.07.2025**

Proceedings are being conducted through hybrid mode.

**I.A. No. 1299/2025 (by petitioner u/O XXXIX Rule 2-A of
CPC)**



1. It is submitted that the captioned IA is coming up for hearing before the Hon'ble Court on 08.09.2025.

I.A. No. 48932/2024 (by petitioner u/O I Rule 10 CPC for impleadment of ICICI Prudential Life Insurance Co. Ltd. as party to the petition)

2. It was already submitted on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3 that the respondent no.1 did not wish to file any reply to the captioned IA and has no objection if the same is allowed.
3. It has already been submitted on behalf of respondents no.5, 8 and 9 that they do not wish to file any reply to the captioned IA and have no objection if the same is allowed.
4. No reply to the captioned IA has been filed on behalf of respondents no.4, 6 and 7 despite opportunities. The right to file the same on behalf of respondents no.4, 6 & 7 is closed.
5. Steps have not been taken by the petitioner for issuance of notice to the proposed respondent no.10. Let the steps be taken within a week.
6. Issue notice of the captioned IA to proposed respondent no.10 for next date of hearing, who may file reply to the captioned IA within four weeks from the date of service and rejoinder thereto be filed within two weeks thereafter.

TEST.CAS. 53/2024;

I.A. No. 32613/2024 (by petitioner for stay) &

I.A. No. 32614/2024 (by petitioner for appointment of Administrator of the Estate of Late Sh.Rahul Singh)

7. Perusal of record shows that valuation report of Karol Bagh property mentioned at sr. no.16 of Schedule-I has been filed vide diary no.4115959/2024.



8. As per office noting, notice has been served upon SDM, Greater Noida, SDM Pargana Khurja and SDM, Pargana Jewar.
9. Ld. Counsel for respondent no.1 has submitted that he has filed valuation report of property at Sainik Farm, Khirkee Extension, Delhi.
10. SDM Greater Noida and SDM Bulandshahar have failed to file the valuation report of the concerned properties despite service of notice.
11. Issue fresh notice to concerned SDMs through DM for filing valuation reports of properties at sr. no.2 to 15 of Schedule-I of the petition for next date of hearing.
12. Ld. Counsel for respondent no.9 has submitted that the details provided to respondent no.9 are not sufficient to trace the bank account. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that he has no other details to trace the bank account. In view of the submissions it is not possible to trace the Bank account in IDFC Bank respondent no. 9 for want of necessary details. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that he will provide the details as and when it will be available.
13. Office noting reveals that reply to petition filed on behalf of respondents no.2 and 3 has come on record. Rejoinder to the said reply was filed vide diary no. 5040578/2025. But the same is not on record. Let the same has not been taken on record.
14. Office noting further reveals that one reply filed on behalf of respondents no.2 and 3 vide diary no.1010066/2025 was returned under objection. Let appropriate steps be taken as per law within three weeks.



15. The reply filed on behalf of defendant no.5 has already come on record.
16. No details have been provided by the respondents no.4 and 6 in terms of previous order. Learned counsel for respondent no.6 submits that the petitioner may be directed to provide the necessary details to trace the Bank Account. Learned counsel for petitioner undertakes to supply the same during the course of the day.
17. Let fresh court notice be issued to defendant no. 4 without filing of PF.
18. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff undertakes to supply the copy of rejoinder to respondent no. 2 & 3 during the course of the day.
19. Let the pleadings be completed as per law.
20. Re-notify the matter for completion of pleadings and further proceedings on **7th November, 2025**.

GAGANDEEP JINDAL (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

JULY 25, 2025/PU

Click here to check corrigendum, if any