



\$~45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 8814/2025**

SHYAM SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abhinav Rathi, Mr. Suraj Goliyan,
Ms. Priyanka Sharma, Mr. Manvendra
Singh and Mr. Dikshant Salona,
Advocates.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Vaishali Gupta, Advocate for Ms.
Urvi Mohan, Advocate for R-1 & 2.
Mr. Jivesh Kr. Tiwari, Advocate for
R-3.

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

JUSTICE RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA

ORDER

% **02.07.2025**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode

CM APPL. 37532/2025 (Exemption)

2. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 8814/2025 & CM APPL. 37531/2025

3. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India challenging the attachment orders by which the salary account of the Petitioner, who is working in the Railway Protection Special Force, is sought to be attached.

4. The details of the cases in which the said attachments of the salary account have taken place are not placed on record by the Petitioner.



5. Ld. Counsel for Respondent No. 3 has appeared before the Court and submits that the Petitioner had taken a loan from the Respondent No. 3-Society and had also stood surety for two other loans. Thus, the attachment of salary accounts has taken place with respect to these loans.
6. None of the details of the proceedings in which the orders have been passed are placed on record. Let the parties place on record the relevant documents and judgments they wish to rely upon.
7. List on 25th August, 2025.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.

RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA, J.

JULY 2, 2025

v/ss