



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CS(OS) 524/2024 & I.A. 32611/2024, I.A. 36728/2024, I.A.
38498/2024

ANI MEDIA PVT. LTD.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Sidhant Kumar, Mr. Akshit
Mago, Ms. Manyaa Chandok, Mr.
Om Batra, Ms. Anshika Saxena, Mr.
Rachit Somani, Advocates

versus

WIKIMEDIA FOUNDATION INC & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr Jayant Mehta, Sr Adv, Ms Tine
Abraham, Mr Nikhil Narendran, Ms
Shivani Rawat, Mr Aayush Marwah,
Mr Thomas Vallianeth, Mr Abhi Udai
Singh Gautam, Ms Jasleen Virk, Ms
Diva Saigal, Advocates for D-1

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

25.10.2024

%

1. It is stated by Defendant No.1 that the reply has been filed. However, the same is not on record.
2. It is stated by Defendant No.1 that only reason why the reply is not on record is because of a slight delay that has been caused in filing the reply. If the reason for not accepting the reply is only delay, then the delay stands condoned. Let the reply be placed on record.



3. List on 28.10.2024 in the supplementary list.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

OCTOBER 25, 2024

RJ