



\$~8

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(OS) 645/2022

RAJEDNER SINGH

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Vikram Jit Saini, Adv.

versus

HEM RAJ & ORS.

..... Defendant

Through: Mr. Manoj Kumar, Adv. for D-1,
D-2 & D-4.

Mr. N.P Singh & Mr. H.S. Rai,
Adv. for D-3.

Mr. Nitin Kumar & Mr. Randeep
Singh Adv. for D-11.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. MANISH
SHARMA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

%

01.03.2024

Regular Steno is on leave today. No other substituted steno
has been provided/available.

**I.A.No.17980/2023 (under Section 5 of Limitation Act
filed on behalf of defendant no.3 for condoning the
delay in filing written statement)**

1. Perusal of record shows that written statement of
defendant no.3 has not yet come on record.
2. Learned counsel for defendant no.3 is requested to
check it up with the Registry and have the same placed
on record.
3. Re-notify the captioned IA for consideration on the next
date of hearing.

**CS(OS) 645/2022; I.A.No.16913/2022 &
I.A.No.16914/2022**



4. As per office note, summons could not be issued to defendant nos.5, 6, 7, 8 & 10 as PF returned under objection.
5. Vakalatnama has been filed by Defendant no. 3.
6. Issue fresh summons of the suit to defendant no.5 to 8 & 10, through all permissible modes including Whatsapp and E-mail, returnable on the next date of hearing.
7. Re-notify the matter for further proceedings on **17th May 2024.**

MANISH SHARMA, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

MARCH 01, 2024/nk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any