



\$~12 & 13

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 4172/2025 & CRL.M.A. 18261/2025,**  
**CRL.M.A. 18262/2025**

VIR SINGH TANWAR .....Petitioner  
Through: Mr. Girish K. Sharma and  
Mr. Ajaya Rexwal, Advs.  
versus  
SANJAY SAHNI .....Respondent  
Through:

+ **CRL.M.C. 4173/2025 & CRL.M.A. 18263/2025,**  
**CRL.M.A. 18264/2025**

VIR SINGH TANWAR .....Petitioner  
Through: Mr. Girish K. Sharma and  
Mr. Ajaya Rexwal, Advs.  
versus  
SANJAY SAHNI .....Respondent  
Through:

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

% **02.07.2025**

1. By the present petitions, the petitioner challenges the common order dated 25.03.2025 (hereafter '**impugned order**'), passed by the learned Revision Court, in CR No. 475/2024 and CR No. 476/2024.
2. By the impugned order, the learned Revision Court had dismissed the revision petition filed by the petitioner and upheld the separate orders dated 08.08.2024, passed in Ct Case No. 3676/2019 and Ct Case No. 3677/2019 respectively.
3. The learned Trial Court, by separate orders dated 08.08.2024, had dismissed the respective applications filed by the petitioner under Section 45 of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872, by noting that the petitioner has admitted the signatures on the



cheques in question in his ~~are~~ent dated 03.12.2019 in the respective proceedings, and therefore, the opinion of expert is not required.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has taken this Court to the order dated 03.12.2019 framing notice under Section 251 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 in both the complaints, where on being asked, the petitioner categorically stated that the cheque in question does not bear his signatures.

5. He submits that typographical error has crept in the orders recording the admission / denial of the documents in both the complaints, wherein inadvertently, the petitioner's signatures are shown to have been admitted.

6. This Court is of the opinion that even though the said argument has been taken belatedly since the complaints were filed way back in the year 2019, however, the delay caused can be compensated.

7. Issue notice. Notice be served through all permissible modes.

8. The learned Trial Court is directed not to proceed with the complaints till the next date of hearing on the petitioner depositing a sum of ₹20,000/- with the Registrar General of this Court within a period of one week.

9. List on 16.09.2025.

10. A copy of this order be placed in both the matters.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 2, 2025**

*'KDK'*