



\$~35

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 14519/2022, CM APPL. 44383/2022**
SHOBHITA JOHARIPetitioner
Through: Mr.Vinayak Chawla, Advocate
versus
DEPUTY LABOUR COMMISSIONER (SOUTH) & ORS.

.....Respondents
Through: Ms.Rachita Garg, Advocate for R-1
None for R-2 to 5

CORAM: SH. SANJAY KUMAR SHARMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

% **10.04.2023**

Today's Court proceedings are conducted through physical/hybrid mode.

As per office report, respondent no.2 has been duly served by ordinary mode.

Notice issued to respondent nos. 3 & 4 has been received back unserved with the remarks "improper address" & "no such office situated there".

Notice issued to respondent no. 5 is still awaited.

While awaiting the same, on petitioner taking steps and filing latest and correct addresses of respondent nos. 3 & 4, let fresh notices be issued to respondent nos. 3 to 5 by ordinary process, speed post and courier, returnable on 17.08.2023. It be indicated in the notice that counter affidavit, if any, be filed before the next date.

Counsel for respondent no.1 states that no counter affidavit is required to be filed on behalf of respondent no.1 being proforma party.

REGISTRAR

APRIL 10, 2023/sk