



\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **ARB.P. 876/2025**

M/S VISHAKHA FACILITY MANAGEMENT PVT. LTD.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shivanath Mahanta, Adv.

versus

**INSTITUTE OF HUMAN BEHAVIOUR AND ALLIED
SCIENCES**

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Tushar Sannu & Ms.
Rajbala, Advs.

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN
SHANKAR**

ORDER

% **22.05.2026**

**REVIEW PET. 241/2026 (Seeking review of the order dt.
17.03.2026 by petitioner)**

1. The present application has been filed seeking review of the Order dated 17.03.2025 passed by this Court, seeking the following reliefs:

“a. The order dated- 17.03.2025, passed by this Hon’ble Court in the instant writ petition may kindly be recalled and an independent arbitrator may be appointed to resolve the dispute between the parties.

b. Pass such further or other order(s) as this Hon’ble court may deem fit and proper on the facts and in the circumstances of the case and in the interests of Justice.”

2. Learned counsel appearing for the Respondent, at the outset, submits that the review is not maintainable as against the order passed under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.



3. She seeks to rely upon the judgment passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in ***M/S Diamond Entertainment Technologies Private Limited & Ors. v Religare Finvest Limited*** and in Para 23 and 24 of the said judgment, which reads as under:

“23. By way of the present review petition, the petitioner is seeking review of the Order vide which an application under Section 11 of the Arbitration & Conciliation Act, 1996 has been allowed. Since the Order made under Section 11 of the Act is in exercise of the statutory powers as defined under the Arbitration & Conciliation Act, any review of the same can be only within the parameters of the Statute. Since, there is no provision of review in the Arbitration & Conciliation Act, this Court finds itself without any jurisdiction to review the present Order.

24. Moreover, the grounds raised for review are in the realm of Appeal as the findings of this Court have been challenged which cannot be brought within the scope of "error apparent on the face of the record" and the impugned Order is not amenable to Review.”

4. This Court has heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the judgement relied upon.

5. This Court is of the considered opinion that no grounds have been made out for review of the order. Further, this Court is also of the view the present Petition in view of the Judgment of the Coordinate Bench in ***M/S Diamond Entertainment Technologies Private Limited (supra)*** is not maintainable.

6. Accordingly, the present application stands dismissed.

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J.

MAY 22, 2026/v/va