



\$~3

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(COMM) 528/2019

STCI FINANCE LTD.

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr.Abhinav Mukhi, Adv.

versus

SH. SHREYAS KIRTI LAL DOSHI & ANR.

..... Defendants

Through: Mr.Sudhanshu Sikka, Adv.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. PURSHOTAM PATHAK
(DHJS)**

ORDER

%

28.02.2022

Matter is taken up through video conferencing

**I.A. No.388/2022 filed on behalf of the Plaintiff for substitution of
authorised representative of the Plaintiff Company**

By way of this application, plaintiff seeks to substitute the authorised representative of the Plaintiff-Company.

Learned counsel for defendants submits that there is no requirement of filing any reply and he has no objection if the application is allowed.

In view of submissions of learned counsel for the defendants and for the reasons stated in the application, the present application is allowed and the erstwhile authorised representative of the Plaintiff-Company viz. Ms.Archana Anand Bhide stands substituted with Mr.Rajesh Khanna.



I.A. stands disposed of.

CS(COMM) 528/2019

Matter is listed for admission/denial of documents. However, admission/denial of documents could not be done as matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for defendants submits that he has placed on record certain documents, in regard to State Bank of India offering a moratorium vide diary no.259759 dated 26.02.2022. However, said documents have not come on record. Let the learned counsel to check with Registry about the status of documents. Copy be supplied to learned counsel for plaintiff.

Put up for admission/denial of documents on 18.04.2022.

PURSHOTAM PATHAK (DHJS), J
FEBRUARY 28, 2022/tp

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)