



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAC.APP. 315/2022**

MOHD. NAEEM KHAN Appellant

Through: Ms... Advocate (*appearance not given*).

versus

SH. BEGRAJ & ORS. Respondents

Through:

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNISH BHATNAGAR

ORDER

% **24.11.2022**
CM APPL. 44200/2022 (Exemption)

Exemption allowed, subject to just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

CM APPL. 44199/2022(delay of 17 days in filing the appeal) & CM APPL. 44201/2022 (delay of 28 days in re-filing)

For the reasons mentioned in the applications, delays of 17 days in filing and 28 days in re-filing the appeal are condoned.

The applications stand disposed of.

MAC.APP. 315/2022 & CM APPL. 44198/2022 (stay)

The appellant has preferred the present appeal under Section 173 of the MV Act against Award dated 06.04.2022 passed by the learned Presiding Officer, MACT-02, (Central District), Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi in petition bearing no. 57488/2016.



At the outset, it is submitted by the counsel for the appellant that appellant was provided a legal aid counsel to conduct his case before the Tribunal, however, the legal aid counsel did not properly conduct the case of the appellant, and even the written statement was not got signed by him and, for that purpose, a complaint was also filed against the legal aid counsel.

The appellant, who is present in Court today, states that no accident has taken place with his Omni Van, which he was allegedly driving on the date of the accident. He further submits that his only fault was that he has removed the injured to the hospital. He further states that he was falsely implicated by the IO of this case who demanded Rs.50,000/- from him for not implicating in this case.

In view of the statement of the appellant, let an affidavit be filed by the appellant mentioning therein as to who was the IO of this case who has demanded Rs.50,000/- for his exoneration from this case.

List on 16th December, 2022.

RAJNISH BHATNAGAR, J

NOVEMBER 24, 2022/ib