



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 556/2025

SMT CHETNA SIKDAR

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Shubham, Advocate for Mr.
Abhinav Sharma, Advocate.

versus

VINOD KUMAR MEHTA

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Jaya Goyal and Ms. Manpreet
Kaur, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

% **05.01.2026**

CM APPL. 80296/2025 (for vacation of stay)

1. Application under Section 151 CPC, has been filed on behalf of the Respondent for vacating the stay granted *vide* Order dated 30.06.2025.
2. It is submitted that the decree for possession under Order XII Rule 6 CPC, was filed against the Appellant against which, the present Appeal has been preferred. The Suit was continued by the learned Trial Court for mesne profits and Order 15 Rule 1A CPC was filed by the Appellant, was directed to deposit or pay the rent @ Rs.27,000/- per month, w.e.f. November, 2023. However, she has failed to deposit the rent and her defence has been struck off. It is submitted that there is no merit left in the Appeal on account of the conduct of the Appellant. A prayer is, therefore, made that the stay granted *vide* Order dated 30.06.2025 for execution of decree of possession, be vacated.



3. It has also been pointed out that despite directions by this Court, *vide* Order dated 03.09.2025, no arrears of rent have been deposited by the Appellant.
4. Considering that the accompanying Appeal is listed for 27.01.2026, the Application be also listed for the same date.
5. Reply, if any, be filed by the Appellant before the said date and copy be supplied to the learned counsel for the Respondent.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

JANUARY 5, 2026/RS