



\$~6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ RFA 556/2025, CM APPL. 37087-37090/2025, CM APPL. 76860/2025, CM APPL. 77041/2025, CM APPL. 80296/2025 and CM APPL. 11471/2026.

SMT CHETNA SIKDAR

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Abhinav Sharma and Mr. Ujjawal Jain, Advocates

versus

VINOD KUMAR MEHTA

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Jaya Goyal and Ms. Manpreet Kaur, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

19.02.2026

CM APPL. 11470/2026

1. Submissions heard in application under Order 44 rule 1 and 2 of the CPC and the RFA insofar as under Order 39 Rule 10 dated 28.05.2025 is concerned.
2. The learned counsel for the appellant seeks permission to file a separate FAO in respect of challenge under Order 39 Rule 10 CPC. The appellant is given liberty to file the FAO against the said Order in accordance with law.
3. Arguments heard in the regular Appeal and in the Application under Order 44 Rules 1 and 2 CPC.
4. Judgment reserved.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J.

FEBRUARY 19, 2026/rr