



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 590/2023**

MRS. NEENA BARNWAL & ANR.Plaintiffs

Through: **Ms. Shobha Gupta and Ms. Akshita
Mishra, Advocates**

versus

SHEKHAR BARNWAL & ANR.Defendants

Through: **Mr. Dinesh Monga, Advocate for D-1
with D-1 in person**

CORAM:

DR. JAGMINDER SINGH (DHJS) JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

%

07.04.2025

I.A. 40438/2024 (filed by plaintiff under Order VI Rule 17 CPC)

1. Reply has been filed on behalf of defendant no. 1, but same is lying under objection. Learned counsel for defendant no. 1 submitted that the objection is only regarding the delay as same could not be filed within four weeks. Copy of reply has been supplied to learned opposite counsel. At request, let reply be taken on record if there is only objection of delay, subject to removing of any other defect. Rejoinder, if any, may be filed within two weeks with copy to opposite party.

2. Put up for completion of pleadings in the pending I.A. on 15.07.2025.

**DR. JAGMINDER SINGH (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

APRIL 7, 2025/tp

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)