



\$~22 & 23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 5146/2022 & CRL.M.A. 20565/2022

UTPAL KAUL

.....Petitioner

Through: Counsel (appearance not given)

versus

INTECO MELTING AND CASTING
TECHNOLOGIES GMBH

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Anuj P. Agarwala and Mr. Kunj
Mehra, Advocates

(23)

+ CRL.M.C. 6449/2022 & CRL.M.A. 25120/2022

VINAY K SETHI & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Counsel (appearance not given)

versus

INTECO MELTING AND CASTING
TECHONOLOGIES GMBH

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Anuj P. Agarwala and Mr. Kunj
Mehra, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

24.03.2025

%

1. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent submits that the arguing counsel is in some personal difficulty and seeks adjournment.



2. The amended memo of parties filed by the petitioner is on record.
3. The reply of the respondent is not on record.
4. Let the reply be filed by the respondent within three weeks from date with advance copy to the other side, who may file rejoinder thereto, within three weeks thereafter.
5. List on 11.08.2025.
6. Interim order(s) if any, to continue.
7. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

MARCH 24, 2025/ns

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)