



\$~1

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

W.P.(C) 8451/2025, CM APPL. 36761/2025, CM APPL. 37003/2025
RAKESH DHAM THROUGH ATTORNEY & ANR.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rajesh Yadav (Sr. Adv) along
with Mr. Gajinder Kumar, Mr.
Chandra Shekhar, Ms. Somya, Advs.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI THROUGH
OFFICE OF ADM (SOUTH), REVENUE DEPT.,
TRIBUNAL FOR MAINTENANCE AND WELFARE OF
PARENTS AND SENIOR CITIZENS, SOUTH DISTRICT & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Dhruv Rohatgi, Ms. Chandrika
Sachdev, Mr., Dhruv Kumar, Advs.
for R1 & R6
Mr. APS Ahluwalia (Sr. Adv) along
with Mr. S.S. Ahluwalia, Ms. Riya
Dhingra, Mr. Anand Singh Sengar,
Ms Sanya Zehra, Advs. for R2 & R3
Mrs. Kadambini Sharma (SPC) for
R5
Mr. Satyam Pandey (SI-PS-GK1)
Mr. Chetan Roy, Ms. Divya Prakash
Singh, Mr. Prakhar Sah, Advs. for R4

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

01.07.2025

%

1. Reply has been filed on behalf of respondent nos. 2 and 3.
2. Learned counsel for respondent no.4 seeks some time to file a reply.
Let the same be filed within a period of four weeks from today.
3. Learned senior counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners
are not desirous of filing any rejoinder.
4. Learned senior counsel for the petitioners also submits that the



respondent nos. 2 and 3 have taken over possession of the property being S-301, Greater Kailash-I, New Delhi 110048 (hereinafter '*the subject property*'). He submits that the same is in contravention of Rule 22(3) of the Delhi Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Rules, 2009. The said contention is, however, refuted by learned Senior Counsel for respondent nos. 2 and 3.

5. Considering the circumstances, it is directed that *status quo*, as on today, shall be maintained in respect of the subject property.

6. List on 24.09.2025.

7. On the next date of hearing, the petitioner and also the respondent no.4, shall produce the original title documents (in respect of the subject property) in their possession.

SACHIN DATTA, J

JULY 1, 2025/uk