



\$~44

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **TR.P.(CRL.) 59/2024 & CRL.M.A. 19165/2024**

JATIN VANJANI

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr.Manish Sindwani and Mr.Babban,**
Advocates

versus

TEJASVINI

.....Respondent

Through: **Ms.Preeti Gupta, Advocate for R-2**
(Through VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SHARMA

ORDER

16.12.2024

%

Ms.Preeti Gupta, learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that the Court administration has preserved the CCTV footage and the same can be given only to the Investigating Agencies or any other appropriate authority.

Let the CCTV footage be preserved.

Despite several opportunities, respondent No.1 has not filed the reply.

The last opportunity is granted. In case respondent No.1 fails to file the reply, an appropriate order in accordance with the law shall be passed by this Court.

Let the amended Memo of Parties be also brought on record.

The Registrar General, High Court of Delhi is also directed to file the pen drive of the CCTV footage in a sealed cover, if required.

List on 14.01.2025.

DINESH KUMAR SHARMA, J

DECEMBER 16, 2024/Dy/ht..