



\$~63

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 10125/2019**

VIJAY BHARAT VERMA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Raj Shekhar Rao, Mr. Raghavendra M.Bajaj, Mr. Bhaskar S., Mr. Agnish Aditya and Mr. Anand V., Advocates alongwith the petitioner in person

versus

BAR COUNCIL OF INDIA AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Preetpal Singh, Advocate for R-1

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

ORDER

% **18.09.2019**

CM.APPL.41841/2019(Exemption)

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 10125/2019 & CM.APPL.41840/2019(Stay)

Issue notice to the respondents. Notice is accepted by Mr. Preetpal Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondent no.1. Let notice be issued to the remaining respondents, returnable on 26th November, 2019.

It is the case of the petitioner that under the Advocates Act, 1961, there is no power of review conferred on the State Bar Councils. In the absence of such power, the proceedings on 27.09.2015 before the Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana were



without jurisdiction. In the Impugned Order dated 11.05.2019 passed by the respondent no.1, however, the respondent no.1 has observed that there was no infirmity in the order dated 27.09.2015 by which the Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana had reviewed its order dated 23.05.2015.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is aggrieved of the Impugned Order only to the above limited extent and with the directions issued by the respondent no.1 on the Revision Petition No.31/2017.

Having considered the above submissions, there shall be a stay on the further proceedings before the respondent no.2 pursuant to the Impugned Order dated 11.05.2019 till the next date of hearing.

List on 26.11.2019.

SEPTEMBER 18, 2019/rr

NAVIN CHAWLA, J