



\$~5, 17 & 18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 183/2024, CM APPL. 15700/2024, CM APPL. 15699/2024

KARAN NATHAppellant

Through: Mr. R. Krishnaamorthi and Mr.Mohit
Jaiswal, Advocates

versus

MINAKSHI NATH SRINIVASAN AND ORSRespondents

Through: None

17

+ FAO 41/2021

KARAN NATHAppellant

Through: Mr. R. Krishnaamorthi and Mr.Mohit
Jaiswal, Advocates

versus

MINAKSHI NATH & ORS.Respondents

Through: None

18

+ RFA 188/2024, CM APPL. 15840/2024, CM APPL. 15841/2024, CM
APPL. 15842/2024, CM APPL. 15843/2024 & CM APPL. 15844/2024
KAILASH NATH SINCE DECEASED THROUGH LR KARAN
NATHAppellant

Through: Mr. R. Krishnaamorthi and Mr.Mohit
Jaiswal, Advocates

versus

MINAKSHI NATH SRINIVASANRespondent

Through: None

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

31.07.2024

%



1. Request for adjournment is made on behalf of learned Counsel for the Appellant on the ground that the arguing Counsel is indisposed.
2. List on 11.12.2024.
3. In the meantime, the parties shall file their respective written synopsis, not exceeding three pages each, at least three days before the next date of hearing, along with the compilation of judgments, if any, they wish to rely upon.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

JULY 31, 2024/tp

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)