



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 515/2024**

KIRAN GROVER @ DIVYA GROVER & ANR.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Rajal Rai Dua, Adv.
(appeared through VC), Mr.
Nishant Chopra & Divyangana,
Advs.

versus

YASHPAL KUKREJA & ANR.

..... Defendant

Through: Mr. Rishabh Malhotra & Mr.
Abhishek Lakra, Advs. for D-1 &
2 (Mobile No. 8377076864)
Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Adv.
(previous counsel) for D1
(appeared through VC)

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. SUMIT
DALAL, (DHJS)

%

ORDER
17.03.2026

I.A.No.10263/22025 (under Order XII Rule 6 CPC)

1. Perusal of the record reveals that reply has been filed on behalf of Defendant No. 1. Learned counsel for the defendants submits that Defendant No. 2 does not wish to file a separate reply and adopts the reply filed on behalf of Defendant No. 1. The same is taken on record. Rejoinder is also on record. Accordingly, pleadings in the captioned IA stand complete.
2. A joint request is made for listing the matter before the Hon'ble Court for further directions.



3. Let the matter be listed before the Hon'ble Court on 27.04.2026.

I.A.No.46400/2024 (under Section 5 of the Limitation Act filed on behalf of the defendant no.1 seeking condonation of delay in filing written statement)

4. No reply has been filed till date. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that he does not wish to file any reply and has no objection if the captioned IA is allowed.
5. In view of the submissions made and the no objection given, the captioned IA is allowed. The written statement filed on behalf of Defendant No. 1 is taken on record. Replication thereto is already on record and is taken on record.

I.A.No.6796/2026 (under Section 5 of the Limitation Act filed on behalf of defendant no.2 seeking condonation of delay in filing the written statement)

6. Notice is issued. Notice is accepted by learned counsel for the plaintiff and formal issuance is waived. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that he does not wish to file any reply and has no objection if the captioned IA is allowed.
7. In view of the submissions made, the captioned IA is allowed. The delay in filing the written statement by Defendant No. 2 is condoned, and the written statement is taken on record.
8. Learned counsel for the Plaintiff further submits that no replication is required to be filed against written statement of Defendant No.2 as Defendant No. 2 has admitted the plaint in its written statement. The same is noted.



9. IA stands disposed

CS(OS) 515/2024

10. In view of the orders passed in IA Nos. 46400/2024 and 6796/2026, the written statements of Defendant Nos. 1 and 2 stand taken on record. Replication to the written statement of Defendant No. 1 is already on record. No replication is proposed to be filed to the written statement of Defendant No. 2.

11. Accordingly, pleadings stand complete.

12. Sh. Rajeev Sharma, learned counsel, appearing through VC, submits that he was earlier representing Defendant No. 1, who has now engaged a new counsel, and that no NOC was taken from him for such engagement. He seeks discharge from the present matter.

13. As the defendant no.1 is being represented by a newly engaged counsel, the request is allowed, and Sh. Rajeev Sharma stands discharged as counsel for Defendant No. 1.

14. List the matter before the Hon'ble Court for further directions on **27.04.2026**.

SUMIT DALAL, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
MARCH 17, 2026/nk